

Research Article

## Agrophysiological barley associated with flag leaf temperature and canopy light interception under salinity and zinc foliar application

Mehrdad Mahlooji

Horticulture Crops Research Department, Isfahan Agricultural and Natural Resources Research and Education Center, AREEO, Isfahan, Iran

(Received: 07/12/2020, Accepted: 20/04/2021)

### Abstract

To understand the agrophysiological barley associated with flag leaf temperature and canopy light interception under salinity and zinc foliar application (ZnFA), a field experiment was conducted in a strip-plot design with three replications in Isfahan, Iran. Saline irrigation water in three levels [2 (low), 10 (moderate) and 18 (high)  $\text{dS m}^{-1}$ ] were applied as vertical factors. Three barley genotypes ['Morocco' (salt-sensitive), 'Nosrat' (semi-salt-tolerant) and 'Khatam' (salt-tolerant)] were arranged within the vertical factors. The horizontal factors included four ZnFA [Nano-ZnO, Zn-EDTA, simultaneous applications of (Nano-ZnO + Zn-EDTA), and water (control)]. With increasing salinity, light interception (LI), maximal efficiency of PSII (Fv/Fm), chlorophyll content (SPAD), relative water content (RWC), number of spike (NS), kernel number per spike (KNS), thousand-kernel weight (TKW), and grain yield (GY) decreased, whereas electrolyte leakage (EL), flag leaf temperature (FLT) and proline increased. Nano-ZnO had the highest EL and the lowest FLT, RWC, NS and KNS. Zn-EDTA application provided the highest LI, RWC, TKW and GY, and the lowest proline. Minus zinc application (check) had minimum LI, Fv/Fm, SPAD and GY. The tolerant genotype had maximum LI, proline, SPAD, RWC, KNS and GY, and minimum FLT, EL, NS and TKW. Overall, it was concluded that Zn-EDTA can be as a proper tool for increasing barley yield under salinity stress conditions. Likewise, this study has highlighted the close relationships existing between GY with, TKW ( $r= 0.89^{**}$ ), KNS ( $r= 0.46^{**}$ ), RWC ( $0.45^{**}$ ), NS ( $r= 0.36^{**}$ ), FLT ( $r= -0.32^{**}$ ), EL ( $r= -0.21^{**}$ ), and SPAD ( $r= 0.20^{**}$ ). These findings indicated that these physiological traits could be key factors, as well as tools for screening, and provide useful information about stress tolerance mechanisms, which could be useful to plant breeders for selecting and developing salt-tolerant genotypes.

**Keywords:** Canopy temperature, Electrolyte leakage, Light interception, Proline

### Introduction

Salinity is a major stress limiting crop production around the world, affecting almost 80 million hectares of agricultural lands (Mahlooji, 2017). The use of recycled water, sea water and drainage water for crop production has been suggested as part of the solutions to such problems (Yordanov *et al.*, 2003). Droughts and application of reusable water cause salinity stress. Growth, productivity of plant species and photosynthetic processes are restricted by salt stress (Tabatabaei and Ehsanzadeh, 2016). Understanding salt-tolerant mechanisms is imperative for crop improvement in salt-affected areas. Screening techniques based on the grain yield for salt tolerance are expensive and time-consuming (Kiani-Pouya and Rasouli, 2014). Therefore, there is a need for introduction of reliable physiological markers for selection of salt-tolerant genotypes to be planted. Major agrophysiological responses, including light interception, flag leaf temperature, chlorophyll fluorescence, chlorophyll content, relative water content and electrolyte leakage can be used to monitor plant responses to salt stress (Izadi *et al.*, 2014; Florence *et*

*al.*, 2019; Bingham *et al.*, 2019). Therefore, using these measurements to screen for salinity tolerance and reducing expenses are thought to be more reliable than selecting for salt tolerance based on the yield (Rahnama *et al.*, 2011).

Light (solar radiation) provides the energy to drive photosynthesis. As light passes through the canopy it is absorbed or reflected, and the remaining light is transmitted to the lower leaves. Therefore, at a particular moment the fraction of incident light radiation intercepted depends on the green area index and how the leaves are geometrically arranged in the canopy (Florence *et al.*, 2019). Many plant traits and environmental variables play roles in the energy balance of the plant canopy affecting its temperature. The surface temperature of the canopy is related to the amount of transpiration resulting in evaporative cooling. Genotypes with 'cooler' canopy temperatures can be used to indicate a better hydration status (Rebetzke *et al.*, 2012). Canopy temperature (CT) is the ideal physiological selection trait in many ways since measurement is quick, simple, accurate estimation of

\*Corresponding Author, Email: mmahlooji2000@yahoo.com

the temperatures of different organs and inexpensive (Jones *et al.*, 2009; Munns *et al.*, 2010; Cossani *et al.*, 2012). Many studies have confirmed that CT is associated with crop yield (Blum *et al.*, 1982; Reynolds *et al.*, 1994; Olivares-Villegas *et al.*, 2007) as well as a range of physiological traits including stomatal conductance (Amani *et al.*, 1996), plant water status (Blum *et al.*, 1982; Balota *et al.*, 2008; Elbasher *et al.*, 2012), and deep roots. Moreover, cooler canopies have been associated with high yield (Rebetzke *et al.*, 2012). In terms of plant characteristics that determine genotypic differences in CT the most important traits are: (i) the vascular system of leaves, shoots and roots which determines the capacity for transpiration, (ii) stomatal aperture which regulates transpiration rate and may be influenced by hormonal signals (Davies *et al.*, 2005), (iii) root depth which determines access to water (Lopes and Reynolds, 2010), (iv) metabolism which if constrained for any reason will cause feedback inhibition of CO<sub>2</sub> fixation and therefore influence stomatal aperture (Reynolds *et al.*, 2000), and (v) source–sink balance (Bingham *et al.*, 2019) since a strong demand for assimilates will result in increased CO<sub>2</sub> uptake associated with larger stomatal conductance (Reynolds *et al.*, 2005).

The chlorophyll content (SPAD) in flag leaves is an important physiological index representing the degree of photosynthesis in plants. Reduction in net photosynthesis under stress has been attributed to reduction in SPAD of plants (Ebrahimi *et al.*, 2014). Decrease in leaf water potential induces stomatal closure and thus inhibits photosynthetic metabolism with evident changes in the actual quantum efficiency of PSII (Azizpour *et al.*, 2010), while no or little changes and effects are recorded in Fv/Fm (Seckin *et al.*, 2010). Some researchers have demonstrated that salt stress inhibits PSII activity (Hichem *et al.*, 2009); whereas others have indicated that salt stress has no effect on PSII (Demiral and Turkan, 2006). However, some studies have shown changes in chlorophyll (Chl) fluorescence (Fv/Fm ratio after dark-adaptation of the leaf) as a result of salinity stress (Castillo *et al.*, 2005). Chl fluorescence methodology can be conveniently used, to screen in a short time, many samples for tolerance to abiotic stresses, and also provides useful information about stress tolerance mechanisms (Izadi *et al.*, 2014). Also, leaf electrolyte leakage (EL) is considered as a good physiological index reflecting the degree of plant injury caused by salt stress. Increasing membrane ion leakage under stress conditions has been reported by Roy *et al.* (2009). The relative water content (RWC) of a leaf is a measurement of its relative hydration status to maximum water holding capacity at full turgidity.

In calcareous soils, zinc precipitates in unavailable forms to plants (Morshedi and Farahbakhsh, 2012). By reducing the amount of soil moisture in saline soils, Zn and Fe in the soil solution are reduced in mobility. Application of Zn fertilizers is a common practice to

compensate Zn deficiency. Zinc deficiency in plants grown in calcareous soils can be moderately corrected by the application of inorganic zinc salts. However, soil applications of Zn have not been very successful under furrow irrigation. Most Zn deficiencies can be corrected with foliar zinc application (Christensen and Peacock, 2000). Plant element deficiencies can be compensated for by spraying appropriate foliar solutions to compensate for the deficiency (Cakmak, 2008). Zn is an essential micronutrient, which is deficient in many regions worldwide, such as in calcareous and salt-affected soils of central Iran (Khoshgoftarmansh *et al.*, 2004). Morshedi and Farahbakhsh (2012), Keshavarz and Saadat (2016) have reported that zinc applications increased yields and had a positive effect on salt tolerance of wheat and barley.

There is a lack of information on the use of agrophysiological responses as selection markers for barley genotypes under saline stress conditions. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine the agrophysiological responses of different barley genotypes to salinity stress and to investigate the role of zinc fertilizer application in reducing the effects of salinity stress.

#### Materials and methods

This experiment was conducted in a strip-split-plot design with three replications in Isfahan Rodasht Drainage and Salinity Research Station (32° 30' N, 52° 9' E) during 2015-16. Three irrigation water salinity levels [control ( $S_1 = 2$  dS m<sup>-1</sup>), common salinity in the region ( $S_2 = 10$  dS m<sup>-1</sup>), and high salinity ( $S_3 = 18$  dS m<sup>-1</sup>)] were evaluated as vertical strip factors. The horizontal factors were four zinc application levels, including nano zinc-oxide, Zn-EDTA, simultaneous applications (nano-ZnO and Zn-EDTA) and water (as a control). The application rates of nano-ZnO and Zn-EDTA included 100 and 1000 g ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Three barley genotypes [Morocco (salt-sensitive), Nosrat (semi-salt-tolerant) and Khatam (salt-tolerant)] were planted. Zinc oxide nanoparticles were produced by China's Neutrino Company with a purity of 99%. The average particle diameter was less than 30 nanometers and the specific surface area was more than 30 m<sup>2</sup> gr<sup>-1</sup>. Due to the fact that nano-ZnO is not soluble in water, first, the nano-ZnO were suspended directly in deionized water and dispersed by ultrasonic vibration (100 W, 40 KHz) for 30 min. Magnetic bars were placed in the suspensions for stirring before use to avoid aggregation of the particles. The physical and chemical characteristics of the soil and irrigation water quality are shown in Table 1. The long term mean annual precipitation and temperature were 93.5 mm and 12°C, respectively. Seeds were sown with the density rate of 450 seeds m<sup>-2</sup> on November 5 by a cereal row planting machine (Wintersteiger Plotman). Each subplot consisted of 6 rows, 6 m in length, with spacing of 20 cm apart. To irrigate the plots, water was delivered from the channel ( $S_1 = 2$  dS m<sup>-1</sup>), a local water well ( $S_2 = 10$

**Table 1. Physico-chemical properties and water irrigation quality of the soil before sowing**

Value	Soil characteristic	Water characteristics	Saline water		
			W <sub>1</sub> =2	W <sub>2</sub> =10	W <sub>3</sub> =18
			dS/m	dS/m	dS/m
pH	7.7	pH	7.7	8.1	7.6
Electrical conductivity (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )	13	Electrical conductivity (dS/m)	1.4	9.7	17.8
Available K <sup>+</sup> (mg/kg)	340	So <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> (meq/lit)	0.8	26.9	172.3
Available Zn <sup>2+</sup> (mg/kg)	0.72	HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> (meq/lit)	2.0	5.7	6.4
Available Fe <sup>2+</sup> (mg/kg)	5.54	Cl <sup>-</sup> (meq/lit)	1.4	60	111
Available Na <sup>+</sup> (meq/lit)	79.1	Na <sup>+</sup> (meq/lit)	1.5	47.8	99.3
Available Ca <sup>2+</sup> +Mg <sup>2+</sup> (meq/lit)	60	Ca <sup>2+</sup> +Mg <sup>2+</sup> (meq/lit)	2.6	44	72

dS m<sup>-1</sup>), and mixed drainage water and local water well (S<sub>3</sub> = 18 dS m<sup>-1</sup>).

At heading stage (between 10:00-14:00h), the quantum yield (Fv/Fm) was measured by the uppermost fully-expanded leaf using a fluorometer (chlorophyll fluorometer; Optic Science-OS-30, USA) (Pask *et al.*, 2012). For this purpose, the plants were adapted to darkness for 20 minutes using a special clamp and then the fluorescence amounts were measured in 1,000 (μM photon m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), and calculation was performed using the formula (Arnon, 1949):

$$PSII = (F_m - F_0) / F_m = F_v / F_m$$

PSII; quantum yield amount of photosystem II, F<sub>m</sub> or maximum fluorescence after a saturated light pulse on plants adapted to darkness and F<sub>0</sub>, the minimal fluorescence in the light adapted, which was determined by illumination with far-red light. Chlorophyll meter (SPAD Konica, Minolta, Japon) and infrared thermometer (AUTOPRO, Raytek, Ltd, USA) were used to measure chlorophyll content and flag leaf temperature (FLT) at heading stage, respectively. Taking light interception measurements with a hand-held ceptometer (Sun Scan Delta-T Devices, Ltd, England) at flowering stage at noon with formula (Balota *et al.*, 2007; 2008).

$$\text{Light interception (\%)} = ((A-B) - C) / (A-B) \times 100$$

Where: A = above-canopy PAR; B = reflected PAR; and, C = below canopy PAR. The range that can be used by plants for photosynthesis are wavelengths between 400 nm (blue) and 700 nm (red), and is termed 'photosynthetic active radiation' (PAR).

Relative water contents of the flag leaves were measured as described by Pask *et al.* (2012), and the electrolyte leakage was measured using the methods of Ahmadizadeh *et al.* (2011). Fresh leaves samples at flowering stage were analyzed for proline contents (Bates *et al.*, 1973). Grain yield was measured in 0.4×4 m<sup>2</sup> plots. Analyses of variances were conducted on the data to determine differences among the treatments using the general linear model (GLM) in SAS 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Mean comparisons were conducted using Fisher's least significant differences (LSD) test at 0.05. Relationships between traits were examined using simple linear correlations performed using SAS.

## Results and discussion

The results of the analysis of variance of the data

indicated that the effects of saline irrigation water were significant on light interception (LI), flag leaf temperature (FLT), proline content, chlorophyll content (SPAD), relative water content (RWC), number of spike (NS), kernel number per spike (KNS), as well as thousand-kernel weight (TKW) and grain yield (GY). As analyses indicated, zinc applications had significant on LI, SPAD and electrolyte leakage. The genotype had significant effects on all of the agrophysiological responses (LI, FLT, Proline, Fv/Fm, SPAD, RWC, EL, NS, KNS, TKW, and GY) (Table 2).

**Light interception (LI):** Data analysis showed that LI was significantly affected by salinity of water irrigation, barley genotypes and zinc fertilizer applications. As shown by the results, LI was decreased by increasing salinity (Table 2). Salinity of water irrigation S<sub>1</sub> improved in the LI from 98.87% to 99.47% relative to S<sub>3</sub>. The LI was the greatest in both F2 and F3 treatments and the least in the treatment F4. Similarly, in the zinc fertilizer applications F<sub>2</sub> (Zn-EDTA: from 99.09% to 99.29%), and simultaneous applications F<sub>3</sub> (Nano-ZnO + Zn-EDTA: from 99.09% to 99.29%) enhanced LI compared to F<sub>4</sub> (check), respectively. Also, results revealed that the LI was significantly (P < 0.01) influenced by genotype. Similarly, the application of salt-tolerant genotype (Khatam) and semi-salt-tolerant (Nosrat) had raised the light interception compared to salt-sensitive (Morocco). Therefore, the Khatam genotype had maximum LI between genotypes. Our results appeared positive correlation grain yield versus LI (r = 0.44\*\*) (Table 3). On the other hand, some researchers have affirmed that grain yield was positively related to radiation use efficiency in winter wheat Yang *et al.* (2017); Li *et al.* (2008). The salinity of water reduced the solar radiation interception (due to a decrease in the number and size of leaves, rolling up the leaves and the total leaf area), if the water salinity was prolonged (Mahlooji *et al.*, 2015, 2017, 2018; Jafaraghaei and jalali, 2019).

**Flag leaf temperature (FLT):** With increasing salinity, FLT was high. Khatam genotype had minimum temperature (cooler) between genotypes. Mixing (nano and EDTA) of zinc treatment had the highest FLT (Table 2). Seem to have, less FLT, more tolerant to salinity stress. Likewise, significant negative correlation between FLT (r = -0.32\*\*) and yield stress condition confirms this result (Table 3). On the other hand,

**Table 2. Effects of water quality and fertilizer application on photosynthetic parameters of barley genotypes**

Treatments	Light interception (%)	Flag leaf temperature (°C)	Proline (µgr/gr)	Fv/Fm	SPAD value	Relative water content (RWC%)	Electrolyte leakage (EL%)	Number of spike (NS)	Kernel number per spike (KNS)	Thousand kernel weight (gr) (TKW)	Grain yield (GY) (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
Quality(dS m <sup>-1</sup> )											
S <sub>1</sub> =2	99.47 <sup>a</sup>	30.16 <sup>b</sup>	204.40 <sup>c</sup>	0.799 <sup>a</sup>	44.97 <sup>b</sup>	87.27 <sup>a</sup>	35.42 <sup>b</sup>	517.92 <sup>a</sup>	32.57 <sup>a</sup>	37.86 <sup>a</sup>	6006.30 <sup>a</sup>
S <sub>2</sub> =10	99.25 <sup>b</sup>	30.71 <sup>a</sup>	214.15 <sup>b</sup>	0.795 <sup>a</sup>	47.36 <sup>a</sup>	83.99 <sup>ab</sup>	37.80 <sup>ab</sup>	457.81 <sup>b</sup>	29.30 <sup>b</sup>	34.98 <sup>b</sup>	4592.20 <sup>b</sup>
S <sub>3</sub> =18	98.87 <sup>c</sup>	30.90 <sup>a</sup>	219.26 <sup>a</sup>	0.792 <sup>a</sup>	43.83 <sup>c</sup>	81.34 <sup>b</sup>	38.98 <sup>a</sup>	389.19 <sup>c</sup>	25.50 <sup>c</sup>	26.94 <sup>c</sup>	2054.40 <sup>c</sup>
LSD 5%	0.053	0.344	4.747	0.015	0.98	4.22	3.14	30.36	1.65	1.28	361.04
Fertilizer											
F <sub>1</sub> =Nano-ZnO	99.11 <sup>b</sup>	30.27 <sup>b</sup>	218.74 <sup>b</sup>	0.795 <sup>ab</sup>	44.06 <sup>b</sup>	83.06 <sup>a</sup>	41.38 <sup>a</sup>	454.63 <sup>a</sup>	28.09 <sup>b</sup>	32.90 <sup>a</sup>	4163.30 <sup>a</sup>
F <sub>2</sub> =Zn-EDTA	99.29 <sup>a</sup>	30.43 <sup>b</sup>	184.44 <sup>d</sup>	0.794 <sup>ab</sup>	45.48 <sup>b</sup>	84.92 <sup>a</sup>	34.09 <sup>b</sup>	457.07 <sup>a</sup>	29.06 <sup>ab</sup>	33.98 <sup>a</sup>	4365.10 <sup>a</sup>
F <sub>3</sub> =Mix	99.29 <sup>a</sup>	31.00 <sup>a</sup>	195.83 <sup>c</sup>	0.801 <sup>a</sup>	48.15 <sup>a</sup>	84.89 <sup>a</sup>	39.53 <sup>a</sup>	448.41 <sup>a</sup>	29.58 <sup>ab</sup>	33.81 <sup>a</sup>	4209.80 <sup>a</sup>
F <sub>4</sub> =Check	99.09 <sup>b</sup>	30.65 <sup>b</sup>	251.39 <sup>a</sup>	0.790 <sup>b</sup>	43.86 <sup>b</sup>	83.93 <sup>a</sup>	34.60 <sup>b</sup>	459.78 <sup>a</sup>	29.77 <sup>a</sup>	32.35 <sup>a</sup>	4132.40 <sup>a</sup>
LSD 5%	0.172	0.571	6.249	0.015	1.18	3.80	1.95	28.01	1.52	1.85	386.9
Genotype											
G <sub>1</sub> =Morocco	98.86 <sup>c</sup>	31.17 <sup>a</sup>	200.13 <sup>c</sup>	0.795 <sup>ab</sup>	42.57 <sup>c</sup>	79.34 <sup>c</sup>	39.67 <sup>a</sup>	567.56 <sup>a</sup>	18.02 <sup>c</sup>	34.43 <sup>a</sup>	3843.59 <sup>b</sup>
G <sub>2</sub> =Nosrat	98.97 <sup>b</sup>	30.63 <sup>b</sup>	209.08 <sup>b</sup>	0.789 <sup>b</sup>	43.89 <sup>b</sup>	83.68 <sup>b</sup>	38.06 <sup>a</sup>	427.03 <sup>b</sup>	33.27 <sup>b</sup>	31.96 <sup>c</sup>	4402.67 <sup>a</sup>
G <sub>3</sub> =Khatam	99.76 <sup>a</sup>	29.97 <sup>c</sup>	228.59 <sup>a</sup>	0.801 <sup>a</sup>	49.70 <sup>a</sup>	89.59 <sup>a</sup>	34.46 <sup>b</sup>	370.32 <sup>c</sup>	36.08 <sup>a</sup>	33.39 <sup>b</sup>	4406.68 <sup>a</sup>
LSD 5%	0.101	0.278	3.854	0.015	2.03	1.98	1.92	15.14	1.52	0.82	176.41
S (Quality)	**	**	**	ns	**	*	ns	**	**	**	**
F (Zn-fertilizer)	ns	ns	ns	ns	**	ns	**	ns	ns	ns	ns
S*F	**	**	**	**	**	ns	*	ns	ns	**	*
G (genotype)	**	**	**	*	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
G*S	**	ns	**	ns	**	ns	ns	**	**	**	**
G*F	**	ns	**	**	**	ns	*	**	*	*	ns
G*S*F	**	ns	**	ns	ns	ns	**	**	ns	**	*
CV%	2.15	1.92	3.82	2.23	5.09	4.97	10.81	7.02	10.97	5.20	8.82

Means with the same letters in each column are not significantly different (LSD 5%). ns, \* and \*\*: show no significant, significant at 5% and 1% level of probability, respectively.

**Table 3. Coefficient correlations between traits of three barley genotypes grown under different salinity**

Traits	Grain yield (GY)	Light interception (LI)	Flag leaf temperature (FLT)	Proline	Fv/Fm	SPAD value	Relative water content (RWC)	Electrolyte leakage (EL)	Number of spike (NS)	Kernel number per spike (KNS)	Thousand-kernel weight (TKW)
GY	1										
LI	0.44**	1									
FLT	-0.32**	-0.27**	1								
Proline	-0.08	0.12	-0.02	1							
Fv/Fm	0.04	-0.04	-0.05	-0.39**	1						
SPAD	0.20*	0.40**	-0.24*	-0.04	0.05	1					
RWC	0.45**	-0.25**	0.06	0.13	0.16	0.51**	1				
EL	-0.21*	-0.25**	0.06	0.03	-0.06	-0.24*	-0.26**	1			
NS	0.36**	-0.10	0.16	-0.28**	-0.03	-0.36**	-0.32**	0.07	1		
KNS	0.46**	0.48**	-0.42**	0.12	0.02	0.45**	0.62**	-0.31	0.55**	1	
TKW	0.89**	0.31**	-0.25**	-0.14	0.06	0.20*	0.32**	-0.11	0.45**	0.16	1

\* and \*\*, Correlation coefficient significant at the 0.01 and 0.05 levels of probability, respectively

numerous researchers affirmed that with high leaf area, transpiration, photosynthesis, fixing carbon dioxide, dry matter, produced more yield (Balota *et al.*, 2007; Rebetzke *et al.*, 2012; M'hamed *et al.*, 2015). As shown by the results, genotypes with cooler FLT under salinity conditions were able to gain higher grain yield. According to Yang *et al.* (2017), increased flag leaf area

and decreased leaf temperature led to increased grain yield of wheat. Thus, FLT temperature can also be considered as one of the effective traits in stress resistance, these results correspond with other researchers (Pinter *et al.*, 1990).

**Proline content:** Salinity increased flag leaf proline content in the plant. The results showed that salt-

tolerant genotype (Khatam) had the most proline content following by Nosrat, although salt-sensitive genotype (Morocco) had the least proline content (Table 2). Proline amino acid is an organic molecule, protects membranes, participating in osmotic regulation and can play a role in salinity stress. Proline is a positive factor for adaptation under salinity stress (Peng *et al.*, 1996; Girousse *et al.*, 1996; Mansour, 1998; Hong *et al.*, 2000; Barzegari *et al.*, 2019). It seems that under high salinity conditions of irrigation water, salt-tolerant genotype had the most proline content as well as grain yield and therefore, it is recommended. According to Mahlooji (2017) flag leaf proline content was higher in salinity tolerant and semi-tolerant cultivars compared to semi-salinity cultivar. These barley cultivars with high Fv/Fm ratio and maximum potential in producing proline under stress conditions were able to have less yield reduction. Tolerant genotype had higher stomatal conductance, mesophyll conductance, stomatal mesophyll, water use efficiency, photosynthetic rate and grain yield (Mahlooji *et al.*, 2015; Mamnoe *et al.*, 2010).

**Chlorophyll fluorescence:** The maximal quantum yield ( $F_v/F_m$ ), which characterizes maximum efficiency of PSII photochemistry, can be used as a good estimator for photosynthetic performance. The effects of salinity levels and zinc applications on Fv/Fm were not significant, whereas genotypic differences in Fv/Fm were significant (Table 2). In comparison with S<sub>1</sub>, Fv/Fm had a decline about 0.5% and 1% in S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>3</sub>, respectively. It has been reported that mild-salinity levels do not induce sustained photodamage to PSII as revealed by unvaried Fv/Fm ratio in plants (Naumann *et al.*, 2007) even in reduction of leaf gas exchanges. Salt-tolerant genotype (Khatam) had higher Fv/Fm than the salt-sensitive genotype (Morocco). The results showed that the leaf Fv/Fm gradually decreased with increasing salinity in barley (Table 2). This could result from damaged leaf cell membranes, reducing leaf area and irreversible photoinhibition resulting from stress. These results are in agreement with those reported by James *et al.* (2002); Movahhedi Dehnavi and Jalil Sheshbahre (2017) and Asadi and Eshghizadeh (2020).

**Chlorophyll content (SPAD):** SPAD was reduced due to high salinity stress. With increasing salinity up to 10 dS m<sup>-1</sup> (S<sub>2</sub>), SPAD increased approximately 5%, but in S<sub>3</sub> (18 dS m<sup>-1</sup>) SPAD reduced about 2.6%, in comparison with S<sub>1</sub> (2 dS m<sup>-1</sup>). Maximum SPAD was at a medium level of salinity and the greatest reduction was observed in S<sub>3</sub> treated with high saline water. However, under lower salt stress (S<sub>2</sub>), the SPAD was higher compared with the control (S<sub>1</sub>). Conditions of medium salinity (S<sub>2</sub>) may stimulate photosynthesis due to tolerance mechanisms such as leaf area reduction and leaf thickness increasing the concentration of chlorophyll in the leaf surface (Mahlooji, 2017). According to Mohammadkhani and Heidari (2007), SPAD increased at moderate stress level. Increasing the salinity up to (18 dS m<sup>-1</sup>) lowered SPAD values.

Reduction of the SPAD could be due to destroying the chloroplasts, increasing the stomatal resistance, decreasing the stomatal conductance as well as a reduction in the amount of chlorophyll. Similar results have been reported by Azizpour *et al.* (2010); Mahlooji *et al.* (2015).

The effects of zinc application treatments in SPAD were significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ ). Simultaneous application treatments (F<sub>3</sub>=nano-ZnO and Zn-EDTA) in SPAD increased about 10%, in comparison with F<sub>4</sub> (check=only water). SPAD of F<sub>4</sub> was the lowest and the differences between F<sub>1</sub> (nano-ZnO), F<sub>2</sub> (Zn-EDTA) and F<sub>4</sub> were not statistically significant (Table 2). SPAD increased by zinc applications (F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>3</sub>). Higher chlorophyll accumulation may be due to complementary effects of nutrients like zinc. The positive effects of zinc applications under salt stress included: Protecting chlorophyll against free radicals, removing the reactive oxygen species, preventing the degradation of chlorophyll, increasing potassium concentration in the leaves, reducing sodium in the plasma membrane and maintaining cell integrity (Pask *et al.*, 2012). This is in consistent with our study and Cakmak (2008) in which zinc applications improved the SPAD.

SPAD was significantly affected by genotype ( $P \leq 0.01$ ). SPAD of the tolerant genotype (G<sub>3</sub>=Khatam) was the highest. SPAD decreased in Morocco (G<sub>1</sub>=sensitive) and Nosrat (G<sub>2</sub>=semi tolerant) around 14 and 12%, respectively as compared to G<sub>3</sub> (Table 2). This was because of less degradation of chlorophyll and having the higher Chl content in the salt tolerant barley genotype (Khatam). Other studies have shown a similar effect (Kumar Parida and Bandhu Das, 2005). SPAD was positively correlated ( $r = 0.21^{**}$ ) with salinity tolerance of genotypes (Table 3). The higher SPAD in Khatam (tolerant genotype) may be related to its ability to repair injury or to use an efficient mechanism for the uptake of necessary elements for Chl under saline soil. These results were generally consistent with findings of Mahlooji *et al.* (2018) in barley genotypes under zinc application.

**Relative water content (RWC):** With increasing salinity levels, RWC declined significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ). There was a reduction in RWC about 4 and 7% in S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>3</sub>, respectively in comparison with S<sub>1</sub>. The RWC differences were small and not significant between S<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>2</sub> or S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>3</sub>, whereas were significant in S<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>3</sub> (Table 2). High sodium ion absorption under saline conditions may have impaired water absorption and reduced RWC. Reduction in RWC may be due to reduced water, high concentrations of sodium and chloride ion, and reduced leaf area (Munns and Tester, 2008; Ebrahimian and Bybordi, 2011; Farhoudi and Khodarahmpour, 2015).

RWC was not affected significantly ( $P > 0.05$ ) by zinc applications (Table 2). However, application of Zn-EDTA slightly increased RWC, but nano-ZnO application reduced it, as compared to F<sub>4</sub>. Although zinc is considered to protect vital cell components under

stress, it is not known to increase the water absorption potential of plants or affect RWC. Consequently, lack of a significant effect of zinc applications on RWC in the present experiment may be acceptable. These results are in accordance to those reported by (Cakmak, 2008).

RWC was significantly ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) affected by genotypes. Tolerant ( $G_3$ ) and sensitive ( $G_1$ ) genotypes had maximum and minimum RWC, respectively. There was a reduction in RWC about 12 and 7% in  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , respectively, in comparison to  $G_3$  (Table 2). Although  $G_2$  was more saline tolerant than genotype  $G_1$ , the significant decreases in RWC due to salinity stress implies that  $G_2$  is also slightly sensitive to saline water stress. The results demonstrated that the tolerant genotype (Khatam) rather than the sensitive genotype (Morocco) showed a higher RWC under salinity stress. It has been shown that the ability to attract and maintain osmotic potential for higher RWC in saline soil water is an effective mechanism in salt-tolerant genotypes. This is in accordance with the results of Ganji Arjenaki *et al.* (2012); Noroozi *et al.* (2013).

It has also been found that higher RWC indicates a better plant water status. Thus, it can be assumed that increase in RWC has increased the chlorophyll content and Fv/Fm (Table 2). The ability of plants to maintain their RWC under stress conditions has been suggested as a tolerance mechanism (Kadkhodaei *et al.*, 2014; Maghsoodi and Razmjoo, 2014). The RWC was positively correlated ( $r = 0.45^{**}$ ) with grain yield (Table 3). Similar results have also been reported by Kadkhodaei *et al.* (2014).

**Electrolyte leakage (EL):** The effects of salinity levels on EL were not significant. Despite the lack of significant effects of salinity levels on EL, there was an increase of about 7% and 10% in  $S_2$  and  $S_3$ , respectively, in comparison to  $S_1$ . However, at the 18 dS m<sup>-1</sup> salinity level ( $S_3$ ), EL was significantly higher than at  $S_1$ . This implies that the high levels of salinity exerted more EL effects (Bilal *et al.*, 2015). The results showed that the EL gradually increased with increasing salinity. This has been attributed to leaf cell membranes being damaged by salt stress (Kaya *et al.*, 2001; Kashani *et al.*, 2018).

The effects of zinc application treatments on EL of the flag leaf were statistically significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ ). Nano-ZnO ( $F_1$ ) and simultaneous applications of ( $F_3$ : nano-ZnO + Zn-EDTA) increased the EL. The highest EL was in treatments with nano particle contents ( $F_1$  and  $F_3$ ). Under environmental stresses, plant membranes are subjected to changes often associated with the increases in permeability and loss of integrity (Bilal *et al.*, 2015).

Varietal differences in EL were significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ ). There were increases in EL of about 10 and 15% in  $G_2$  and  $G_1$ , respectively, in comparison with  $G_3$ . No significant differences between  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  were obtained, but  $G_2$  had a lower EL than  $G_1$ . However, the results showed that EL in  $G_3$  (salt tolerant) and  $G_2$  (semi-salt tolerant) were lower in comparison with the

$G_1$  (salt sensitive). In line with the experiment results, Roy *et al.* (2009) and Mahlooji *et al.* (2018) reported that EL increased under salt conditions, and the varietal differences between the genotypes may offer partial explanations for the differential tolerance to salinity stress.

EL was used to assess membrane permeability. In our study, EL decreased in genotypes under salt stress. The leaf EL is considered as a good physiological marker reflecting the amount of plant membrane damage caused by salt stress (Kaya *et al.*, 2001). EL was negatively correlated ( $r = -0.21^{**}$ ) with the salinity tolerance of the genotypes (Table 3) in agreement with the results of Peng *et al.* (2008).

**Yield and yield components, yield components:** The effects of salinity levels and genotypes were highly significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ ), but there were no significant effects of fertilizer applications on yield components. Number of spike (NS) about 12% and 25%, kernel number per spike (KNS) about 11% and 22% and thousand-kernel weight (TKW) about 8% and 29% were reduced in  $S_2$  and  $S_3$ , respectively, in comparison with  $S_1$ . Genotypic differences in NS, KNS, and TKW were significant. Khatam ( $G_3$ =tolerant) had the highest KNS and lowest NS, but Morocco ( $G_1$ =sensitive) had the lowest KNS and the highest NS and TKW (Table 2).

**Grain yield (GY):** GY was significantly affected by irrigation water quality and genotype ( $P \leq 0.01$ ). GY reduced with increasing salinity levels. GY was reduced in  $S_2$  and  $S_3$  by 24% and 66%, respectively, in comparison with  $S_1$ . GY was not affected by zinc application treatments. No significant differences in GY were found among the zinc applications (Table 2). Although zinc fertilizer applications on GY were not significant,  $F_2$  and  $F_3$  increased to up around 6% and 2%, respectively, in comparison with  $F_4$ . The highest GY was produced in Zn-EDTA application treatments (Table 2).

GY was significantly ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) affected by genotype. GY of the tolerant genotype ( $G_3$ =Khatam) was the highest and reduced the most in Morocco ( $G_1$ ). Despite of non-significant effect of genotypes ( $G_2$  and  $G_3$ ) on grain yield (GY), there was about 14% increase in GY in comparison with  $G_1$ . GY was reduced by both salinity treatments, whereas genotypic differences were markedly significant at high salinity level ( $S_3$ ). At low ( $S_1$ ) to moderate ( $S_2$ ) salinity levels, osmotic stress affects growth and ionic stress ( $Na^+$ -specific effect) at high salinity level ( $S_3$ ) negatively influences reproductive growth and grain yield (Munns and Tester, 2008). High concentrations of  $Na^+$ , which accumulate in the chloroplasts under salinity stress, are known to damage thylakoid membranes and inactivate electron transport and photophosphorylation of isolated thylakoid membranes causing a reduction in photosynthetic capacity (Ashraf and Harris, 2013). Moreover, reduction in yield could be due to decrease in water absorption by plant tissues along with reduction in cellular growth and development as well as the decrease

in growth of the plants under salt stress as suggested by Pirasteh-Anosheh *et al.* (2016). In line with the experiment results, Ashrafi *et al.* (2014) found that salinity reduced plants' dry weights.

Zn-EDTA application and the Khatam salt tolerant genotype provided higher grain yield. The Khatam (salt-tolerant) and Nosrat (semi salt-tolerant) are comparatively higher in KNS, RWC, SPAD, proline and LI than the salt sensitive genotype Morocco. The decrease in GY of salt-tolerant genotypes (Khatam and Nosrat) was mainly attributed to a decline in NS, but in the salt-sensitive genotype (Morocco) it was due to a reduction in KNS. Decrease in KNS of Morocco could be due to the lack of availability of photoassimilates accumulation before anthesis that may have reduced the KNS per plant. On the other hand, in (Khatam and Nosrat), there may have been no limitation in photoassimilates accumulation before anthesis resulting in more KNS. Also, significant positive correlations exist along with a rather high coefficient rates between

GY and KNS ( $r = 0.46^{**}$ ), TKW ( $r = 0.89^{**}$ ) and NS ( $r = 0.36^{**}$ ). These findings show that these agrophysiological traits could be the key factors involved in salt tolerance. They could also be used to screen many genotypes in a short time and provide useful information about stress tolerance mechanisms.

### Conclusion

In the present investigation it can be concluded that high salinity decreased agrophysiological parameters (light interception, chlorophyll fluorescence, chlorophyll content, relative water content, number of spike, kernel number per spike, thousand-kernel weight, grain yield), but increased flag leaf temperature, proline and leaf electrolyte leakage of the flag leaf. A significant and positive correlation was found between grain yield and 1000- kernel weight, whereas a significant and negative correlation was noted between grain yield and flag leaf temperature.

### References

- Ahmadizadeh, M., Valizadeh, M., Zaefizadeh, M. and Shahbazi, H. (2011) Antioxidative protection and electrolyte leakage in durum wheat under drought stress condition. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research* 7: 236-46.
- Amani, I., Fischer, R. A. and Reynolds, M. (1996) Canopy temperature depression association with yield of irrigated spring wheat cultivars in a hot climate. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science* 176: 119-129.
- Arnon, D. I. (1949) Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplast polyphenol oxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. *Plant Physiology* 24: 1-15.
- Asadi, M. and Eshghizadeh, H. R. (2020) Effect of nitrogen on yield and some physiological characteristics of sorghum (*Sorghum* sp.) genotypes under drought stress. *Journal of Plant Process and Function* 9: 229-244.
- Ashraf, M. and Harris, P. J. C. (2013) Photosynthesis under stressful environments: An overview. *Photosynthetica* 51: 163-90.
- Ashrafi, E., Razmjoo, J., Zahedi, M. and Pesarakli, M. (2014) Selecting alfalfa cultivars for salt tolerance based on some physiochemical traits. *Agronomy Journal* 106: 1758-64.
- Azizpour, K., Shakiba, M. R., Khosh Kholg Sima, N. A., Alyari, H., Mogaddam, M., Esfandiari, E. and Pesarakli, M. (2010) Physiological response of spring durum wheat genotypes to salinity. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 33: 859-73.
- Balota, M., Payne, W. A., Evett, S. R. and Lazar, M. D. (2007) Canopy temperature depression sampling to assess grain yield and genotypic differentiation in winter wheat. *Crop Science* 47: 1518-1529.
- Balota, M., Payne, W. A., Evett, S. R. and Peters, T. R. (2008) Morphological and physiological traits associated with canopy temperature depression in three closely related wheat lines. *Crop Science* 48: 1897-1910.
- Barzegari, Z., Ghasemian, A. R., Raeesi Sadati, S. Y. and Asadi, A. (2019) An investigation of the nano -chelated potassium effects on the amount of soluble sugar, proline, total protein and activity of some antioxidant enzymes in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under water stress. *Journal of Plant Process and Function* 9: 49-62.
- Bates, L. S. (1973) Rapid determination of free proline for water- stress studies. *Plant and Soil* 39: 205-207.
- Bilal, A. M., Tanveer, A. K. and Qazi, F. (2015) 24-epibrassinolide and spermidine modulate photosynthesis and antioxidant systems in *Vigna radiata* under salt and zinc stress. *International Journal of Advanced Research* 3: 592-608.
- Bingham, I. J., Young, C., Bounds, P. and Paveley, N. D. (2019) In sink-limited spring barley crops, light interception by green canopy does not need protection against foliar disease for the entire duration of grain filling. *Field Crops Research* 239: 124-134.
- Blum, A., Mayer, J. and Gozlan, G. (1982) Infrared thermal sensing of plant canopies as a screening technique for dehydration avoidance in wheat. *Field Crops Research* 5: 137-146.
- Cakmak, I. (2008) Enrichment of cereal grains with zinc: Agronomic or genetic biofortification. *Plant and Soil* 302: 1-17.
- Castillo, J. M., Rubio-Casal, A. E., Redondo, S., Alvarez-Lopez, A. A., Luque, T., Luque, C., Nieva, F. J., Castellanos, E. M. and Figueroa, M. E. (2005) Short term responses to salinity of an invasive cordgrass. *Biological Invasions* 7: 29-35.

- Cossani, C. M., Pietragalla, J. and Reynolds, M. P. (2012) Canopy temperature and plant water relations. In: Physiological Breeding I: Interdisciplinary Approaches to Improve Crop Adaptation (eds. Reynolds, M., Pask, A. J. D. and Mullan, D. M.) Pp. 60-68. D. F. CIMMYT, Mexico.
- Davies, W., Kudoyarova, G. and Hartung, W. (2005) Long-distance ABA signaling and its relation to other signaling pathways in the detection of soil drying and the mediation of the plant's response to drought. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation* 24: 285-295.
- Demiral, T. and Turkan, I. (2006) Exogenous glycinebetaine affects growth and proline accumulation and retards senescence in two rice cultivars under NaCl stress. *Environmental Experience Botany* 56: 72-79.
- Christensen, L. P. and Peacock, W. L. (2000) Mineral nutrition and fertilization. In: Producing the Crop (eds. Blank, S., Christensen, P., Fidelibus, M., Hirschfeld, D., Klonsky, K., Peacock, W. and Williams, L.) Pp. 102-14. University of California, Agricultural and Natural Resources Publication 3393, Oakland, CA.
- Ebrahimian, E. and Bybordi, A. (2011) Exogenous silicium and zinc increase antioxidant enzyme activity and alleviate salt stress in leaves of sunflower. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment* 9: 422-27.
- Ebrahimi, M., Khajepour, M. R., Naderi, A. and Majde Nassiri, B. (2014) Physiological responses of sunflower to water stress under different levels of zinc fertilizer. *International Journal of Plant Production* 8: 483-504.
- Elbashier, E. M. E., Tahir, I. S. A., Saad, A. S. I. and Ibrahim, M. A. S. (2012) Wheat genotypic variability in utilizing nitrogen fertilizer for a cooler canopy under a heat-stressed irrigated environment. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 7: 385-392.
- Farhoudi, R. and Khodarahmpour, Z. (2015) An evolution of 19 wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars regarding the response to salinity stress. *Journal of Plant Process and Function* 4: 67-78.
- Florence, A., Ennos, R. A., Hoad, S. P. and Hoebe, P. N. (2019) Variation in light interception traits in European spring barley landraces. *Field Crops Research* 241: 1-8.
- Ganji Arjenaki, F., Jabbari, R. and Morshedi, A. (2012) Evaluation of drought stress on relative water content, chlorophyll content and mineral elements of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties. *International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences* 4: 726-729.
- Girousse, C., Bournolille, R. and Bonnemain, J. L. (1996) Water deficit changes in concentrations of proline and some other amino acids in the phloem sap of alfalfa. *Plant Physiology* 111: 109-115.
- Hichem, H., Ea, N. and Mounir, D. (2009) Effects of salt stress on photosynthesis, PSII photochemistry and thermal energy dissipation in leaves of two corn (*Zea mays* L.) varieties. *Photosynthetica* 47: 517-26.
- Hong, Z., Lakkineni, K., Zhang, Z. and Verma, D. (2000) Removal of feedback inhibition of  $\Delta$  1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase results in increased proline accumulation and protection of plants from osmotic stress. *Plant Physiology* 122: 1129-1136.
- Izadi, M. H., Rabbani, J., Emam, Y., Pessarakli, M. and Tahmasebi, A. (2014) Effects of salinity stress on physiological performance of various wheat and barley cultivars. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 37: 520-31.
- Jafaraghaei, M. and Jalali, A. H. (2019) The effect of different levels of irrigation water salinity on canopy radiation interception, and yield of some cotton genotypes. *Applied Research in Field Crops* 32: 75-88.
- James, R. A., Rivelli, A. R., Munns, R. and Von Caemmerer, S. (2002) Factors affecting CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation, leaf injury and growth in salt-stressed durum wheat. *Functional Plant Biology* 29: 1393-403.
- Jones, H. G., Serraj, R., Loveys, B. R., Xiong, L., Wheaton, A. and Price, A. H. (2009) Thermal infrared imaging of crop canopies for the remote diagnosis and quantification of plant responses to water stress in the field. *Functional Plant Biology* 36: 978-989.
- Kadkhodaei, A., Razmjoo, J., Zahedi, M. and Pessarakli, M. (2014) Selecting sesame genotypes for drought tolerance based on some physiochemical traits. *Agronomy Journal* 106: 111-118.
- Kashani, A., Pirdashti, H. A., Biparva, P. and Emadi, S. M. (2018) Response of morphological and physiological traits of canola (*Brassica napus* L.) to application of stabilized zero-valent iron nanoparticles under salinity stress. *Journal of Plant Process and Function* 8: 95-113.
- Kaya, C., Kirnak, H. and Higgs, D. (2001) Enhancement of growth and normal growth parameters by foliar application of potassium and phosphorus on tomato cultivars grown at high (NaCl) salinity. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 24: 357-67.
- Keshavarz, P. and Saadat, S. (2016) Assessment of salinity tolerance threshold for two wheat genotypes in response to zinc. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science* 62: 247-59.
- Khoshgoftarmanesh, A. H., Shariatmadari, H., Karimian, N., Kalbasi, M. and Khajepour, M. R. (2004) Zinc efficiency of wheat cultivars grown on a saline calcareous soil. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 27: 1953-1962.
- Kiani-Pouya, A. and Rasouli, F. (2014) The potential of leaf chlorophyll content to screen bread-wheat genotypes in saline condition. *Photosynthetica* 52: 288-300.
- Kumar Parida, A. and Bandhu Das, A. (2005) Salt tolerance and salinity effects on plants: a review. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 60: 324-49.
- Li, Q. Q., Chen, Y. H., Liu, M. Y., Zhou, X. B., Yu, S. L. and Dong, B. D. (2008) Effects of irrigation and planting patterns on radiation use efficiency and yield of winter wheat in North China. *Agricultural Water Management*



95: 469-476.

- Lopes, M. S. and Reynolds, M. (2010) Partitioning of assimilates to deeper roots is associated with cooler canopies and increased yield under drought in wheat. *Functional Plant Biology* 37: 147-156.
- Maghsoodi, M. and Razmjoo, R. (2014) Exploration of root and shoot physiological traits for selecting drought tolerance in alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.). *Advances in Applied Agricultural Science* 12: 32-55.
- Mahlooji, M., Seyed Sharifi, R., Sedghi, M., Sabzalian, M. R. and Kamali, M. R. (2015) Effect of water salinity and nano and chelated zinc foliar application on photosynthesis parameters of barley genotypes. *Journal of Crop Production* 7: 41-60.
- Mahlooji, M., Seyed Sharifi, R., Razmjoo, J., Sabzalian, M. R. and Sedghi, M. (2018) Effect of salt stress on photosynthesis and physiological parameters of three contrasting barley genotypes. *Photosynthetica* 56: 549-556.
- Mahlooji, M. (2017) Effects of salinity of irrigation water and nano zinc oxide foliar application on morphophysiological characteristics of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) genotypes. PhD Thesis, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran.
- Mansour, M. (1998) Protection of plasma membrane of onion epidermal cells by glycinebetaine and proline against NaCl stress. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* 36: 767-772.
- M'hamed, H. C., Rezig, M. and Naceur, M. B. (2015) Deficit irrigation of durum wheat (*Triticum durum* desf): Effects on total dry matter production, light interception and radiation use efficiency under different nitrogen rates. *Sustainable Agriculture Research* 4: 26-40.
- Mohammadkhani, N. and Heidari, R. (2007) Effects of drought stress on soluble proteins in two maize varieties. *Turkish Journal of Biology* 32: 23-30.
- Mamnoe, E. and Seyed Sharifi, R. (2010) Study the effects of water deficit on chlorophyll fluorescence indices and the amount of proline in six barley genotypes and its relation with canopy temperature and yield. *Journal of Plant Biology* 2: 51-62.
- Morshedi, A. and Farahbakhsh, H. (2012) The role of potassium and zinc in reducing salinity and alkalinity stress conditions in two wheat genotypes. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science* 58: 371-84.
- Movahhedi Dehnavi, M. and Jalil Sheshbahre, M. (2017) Soybean leaf physiological responses to drought stress improved via enhanced seed zinc and iron concentrations. *Journal of Plant Process and Function* 5: 13-21.
- Munns, R. and Tester, M. (2008) Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 59: 651-81.
- Munns, R., James, R. A., Sirault, X. R. R., Furbank, R. T. and Jones, H. G. (2010) New phenotyping methods for screening wheat and barley for beneficial responses to water deficit. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 61: 3499-3507.
- Naumann, J. C., Young, D. R. and Anderson, J. E. (2007) Linking leaf chlorophyll fluorescence properties physiological responses for detection of salt and drought stress in costal plant species. *Physiologia of Plant* 131: 422-33.
- Noroozi, H., Roshanfekr, H., Hassibi, P. and Meskarbashee, M. (2013) The evaluation of some photosynthetic characteristics in two forage millet cultivars under salt stress conditions. *Journal of Plant Process and Function* 2: 75-85.
- Olivares-Villegas, J. J., Reynolds, M. and McDonald, G. K. (2007) Drought adaptive attributes in the Seri/Babax hexaploid wheat population. *Functional Plant Biology* 34: 189-203.
- Pask, A. J. D., Pietragalla, J., Mullan, D. M. and Reynolds, M. (2012) *Physiological Breeding II: A Field Guide to Wheat Phenotyping*. CIMMYT, Mexico.
- Rebetzke, G. J., Rattey, A. R., Farquhar, G. D., Richards, R. A. and Condon, A. G. (2012) Genomic regions for canopy temperature and their genetic association with stomatal conductance and grain yield in wheat. *Functional Plant Biology* 40: 14-33.
- Peng, Z., Lu, Q. and Verma, D. (1996) Reciprocal regulation of  $\Delta^1$ -pyrroline-5- carboxylate synthetase and proline dehydrogenase genes controls proline levels during and after osmotic stress in plants. *Molecular Genetics and Genomics* 253: 334-341.
- Peng, Y. L., Gao, Z. W., Gao, Y., Liu, G. F., Sheng, L. X. and Wang, D. L. (2008) Eco-physiological characteristics of alfalfa seedlings in response to various mixed salt alkaline stresses. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology* 50: 29-39.
- Pinter, J. R. P. J., Zipoli, G., Reginato, R. J., Jackson, R. D., Idso, S. B. and Homan, J. P. (1990) Canopy temperature as an indicator of differential water use and yield performance among wheat cultivars. *Agricultural Water Management* 18: 35-48.
- Roy, R., Agrawal, V. and Gupta, S. C. (2009) Comparison of drought-induced polypeptides and ion leakage in three tomato cultivars. *Biology Plantarum* 53: 685-690.
- Pirasteh-Anosheh, H., Ranjbar, G., Pakniyat, H. and Emam, Y. (2016) Physiological mechanisms of salt stress tolerance in plants: An overview. *Plant-environment interaction. In: Responses and Approaches to Mitigate Stress* (eds. Mahgoub Azooz, M. and Ahmad, P.) Pp. 141-60. John Wiley and Sons, Ltd.
- Rahnama, A., Poustini, K., Tavakkol-Afshari, R., Ahmadi, A. and Alizadeh, H. (2011) Growth properties and ion distribution in different tissues of bread wheat genotypes (*Triticum aestivum* L.) differing in salt tolerance. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science* 197: 21-30.

- Reynolds, M., Balota, M., Delgado, M., Amani, I. and Fischer, R. (1994) Physiological and morphological traits associated with spring wheat yield under hot, irrigated conditions. *Functional Plant Biology* 21: 717-730.
- Reynolds, M., Delgado, B. M. I., Gutierrez-Rodriguez, M. and Larque-Saavedra, A. (2000) Photosynthesis of wheat in a warm, irrigated environment: I: Genetic diversity and crop productivity. *Field Crops Research* 66: 37-50.
- Reynolds, M., Pellegrineschi, A. and Skovmand, B. (2005) Sink-limitation to yield and biomass: A summary of some investigations in spring wheat. *Annals of Applied Biology* 146: 39-49.
- Statistical Analysis System Institute Inc. (2007) SAS User's guide: Statistics. Version 9.1.3 Edition. Cary (NC): SAS Institute.
- Seckin, B., Turkan, I., Hediye Sekmen, A. and Ozfidan, C. (2010) The role of antioxidant defense systems at differential salt tolerance of *Hordeum marinum* Huds. (Sea barleygrass) and *Hordeum vulgare* L. (cultivated barley). *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 69: 76-85.
- Tabatabaei, S. and Ehsanzadeh, P. (2016) Photosynthetic pigments, ionic and antioxidative behaviour of hulled tetraploid wheat in response to NaCl. *Photosyntheica* 54: 340-350.
- Yang, D. Q., Dong, W. H., Luo, Y. L., Song, W. T., Cai, T., Li, T., Yin, Y. P. and Wang Z. L. (2017) Effects of nitrogen application and supplemental irrigation on canopy temperature and photosynthetic characteristics in winter wheat. *The Journal of Agricultural* 1-11.
- Yordanov, I., Velikova, V. and Tsonev, T. (2003) Plant responses to drought and stress tolerance. *Bulgarian Journal of Plant Physiology. Special Issue*: 187-206.