Interactive effects of Mg and shading on the yield, physiology and antioxidant activity in cucumber grown in hydroponics
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Abstract

An experiment was carried out to evaluate the effect of various concentrations of Mg (0, 1, 2, 3 and 4 mM) in the nutrient solution and shading (0 and 50%) on growth, yield, fruit quality and physiological properties in hydroponically grown cucumber (Cucumis sativus L. cv. Nagen 792). By increasing Mg concentration in both shaded and unshaded plants, the total plant leaf area and dry weight of leaves increased, whereas specific leaf weight decreased. The highest yield in terms of fruit weight and number per plant in both shaded and unshaded plants were obtained in 3 mM Mg treatment; yield in shaded plants was 57% lower than in unshaded plants. Increased Mg concentration drastically increased Mg content of the leaves and fruits and reduced K and Ca content, especially in shaded plants. Leaf and fruit concentration of Mg increased drastically while K and Ca decreased with increasing Mg in the nutrient solution. The ascorbate peroxidase (APX) and peroxidase (POX) activity in the leaves was decreased by increasing Mg concentration and the highest activity of both enzymes was observed in Mg deficient plants and was more pronounced in unshaded plants. Leaf soluble sugars and starch content were decreased with increasing Mg concentration in the solution, especially in shaded plants. Lower Mg concentration in the nutrient solution significantly increased total free amino acids (FAA) in the leaves. In general, Mg requirement of cucumber plants likely increases with light intensity. Thus, higher concentration of Mg (3 mM) in the nutrient solution was the most favorable for cucumber plant growth and function grown in hydroponics.
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Introduction

The importance of Mg in crop production has been underestimated in the last decades (Cakmak and Yazici, 2010). Indeed, compared to other nutrients, little attention has been paid on this mineral element by researchers. Therefore, the term ‘the forgotten element’ was introduced and used (Cakmak and Yazici, 2010). Mg is an essential element for plant growth and development and constitutes as central part of the chlorophyll molecule (Marschner, 1995). Among the essential mineral nutrients required for plants, Mg has important roles in phloem loading and transport of photoassimilates into sink organs such as shoot tips and seeds (Cakmak et al., 1994a; Hermans et al., 2005). In addition it is essential for activation of many enzymes including ATPases, ribulose 1, 5-bisphosphate (Rubisco) carboxylase, RNA polymerase and protein kinases (Marschner, 1995; shaui, 2002).

The responses of plants to different Mg concentrations are not only affected by Mg availability in the root zone, but also depend on light intensity, temperature and species (Huang et al., 1990; Cakmak and Marschner 1992). The roles of Mg in plant metabolism particularly under stress conditions are well known (Cakmak and Kirkby 2008). The authors indicated that the Mg requirement is increased under high-light conditions (Cakmak and Kirrby, 2008). The higher Mg requirement under high light condition might be reduced to the fact that under suboptimal Mg supplying and high light status induce the accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and thus plant damage. Higher activities of antioxidative enzymes such as superoxide dismutase and ascorbate peroxidase in Mg-deficient leaves compared to Mg-adequate leaves indicate that Mg deficiency stress, indeed, induce generation of reactive oxygen species as a consequence of impairments in photosynthetic electron transport and utilization of photoassimilates (Cakmak and Marschner 1992). Ribosomes are macromolecular structures formed from protein and ribonucleic acids responsible for protein biosynthesis. The active form of ribosomes requires aggregation of two subunits, and Mg to form a bridge between the subunits. Hence, protein biosynthesis is strongly reduced under Mg deficiency leading to increased concentrations of the precursor amino acids (Fisher et al., 1998; Marschner 2012).

As plants are subjected to various light intensities in different seasons, this may alter the ability of plants to take up and translocate Mg. Therefore, it seems that the adjustment of Mg concentration in the nutrient solution
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according to the light intensity should be crucial. Despite the well-known fundamental roles of Mg in plant metabolism, there is very limited information on interactions between shading and Mg on yield of cucumber. The objective of this experiment was to determine the interactive effects of Mg and light intensity on cucumber growth and physiological characteristics. Furthermore, the feasibility of the optimum Mg concentration in various light intensity for growing cucumber was studied. 

Materials and Methods

Plant materials and growth conditions: The experiment was carried out in the Department of Horticultural Science, University of Tabriz, Iran. Cucumber (Cucumis sativus L. cv. Nagen 792) seeds were sown in cells plug trays filled with vermiculite, after emergence of two true leaves, seedlings were transplanted to a 2L growth bags (100, 20,10 cm) filled with a mixture of perlite and vermiculite (1:1 v/v). The nutrient solution was prepared based on full strength of Hoagland’s solution (Hoagland and Arnon, 1950) containing: 5.6 mM Ca (NO₃)₂, 4 mM KNO₃, 1 mM KH₂PO₄. The solution pH was maintained close to 6.5 by adding H₂SO₄. The electrical conductivity (EC) of the nutrient solution was within the range 2.2 - 2.4 dS m⁻¹. In order to keep the anionic-cationic balance and a similar electrical conductivity for the five solutions, mineral concentrations were adjusted leading to only slight variations. The greenhouse was under natural photoperiod condition during spring and summer and air temperature was set to 27 ± 2 °C and 18 ± 2 °C during day t night time, respectively. The experiment was carried out as a split-plot design with shading located in the main plot and various Mg concentrations served as subplot with three replications in each treatment. Each plot contained three plants. The plants were treated with five Mg concentrations (0, 1, 2, 3 and 4 mM) as MgSO₄.7H₂O. Treatments were labelled Mg 0, Mg 1, Mg 2, Mg 3 and Mg 4. The plants were subjected to two light intensity treatments (50% shaded and unshaded) using green shade netting suspended above the box frame with the size of 1.5 m × 8 m × 4 m. The box frames were randomly placed in the greenhouse. Everyday light intensity at the canopy height under the shaded netting and in the glasshouse was monitored using a light- meter (Skye Instrument. Powys, UK). The average of light intensity under shaded netting and in the glasshouse (unshaded) over entire period of experimentation is shown in Fig.1.

Data collection and chemical analysis: At the end of the experiment, two plants from each replication harvested and the plant height, internode length and leaf number were recorded. The plant organs divided into leaf and stem, weighed and then all plant parts were dried at 80 °C in an air-forced oven for 48 hrs. for determination of leaf and stem dry matter. The leaf area was measured using a leaf area meter (Li-Cor, Model Li-1300, USA). Specific leaf weight (SLW) was calculated as the dry weight of leaves per unit leaf area (leaf weight/ leaf area). The fruits were harvested three times per week from the beginning of July until the end of October. Cucumbers collected from each plant were weighted and numbered. The plant yield was expressed as the mean of the fruit weight of three plants. The leaf and the fruit Mg and Ca content were measured by atomic absorption spectrophotometry (Perkin Elmer, Model 110, USA). The K content in the leaf and the fruits were determined by flame photometry (PEP7 and PEP7/C, Jen way, England). Fresh leaf samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen immediately after harvesting and stored at -20 °C until enzyme assays. 0.5 gram leaves homogenized with 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH, 7.5) containing 0.2 mM EDTA, and 1 % (w/v) polyvinylpyrrolidione, homogenate was centrifuged at 14000 rpm for 20 mins at 4 °C (Peters et al., 1988). APX (EC 1.11.1.11) activity was assayed by monitoring the change at 290 nm. The reaction mixture contained 50 mM sodium phosphate (pH, 7.0), 2.5 mM ascorbate, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1.5 mM H₂O₂ and 100 µl of enzyme extract in a final volume of 1 ml. The activity of enzyme is expressed as Unit g⁻¹ FW (Nakano and Asada 1987). Activity of POX (EC 1.11.1.7) was assayed by adding 50µl of the tissue extract to final 3 ml of assay solution, containing 13 mM guaiacol, 5mM H₂O₂ and 50 mM Na-phosphate (pH 7.8) (Hemeda and Kelin 1990). An increase of the optical density at 470 nm for 1 mins at 25 °C was recorded using a spectrophotometer. POX activity was expressed as Unit g⁻¹ FW. To determine free amino acid, fresh leaves (1 g) were homogenized using a pestle and mortar in 5mL of 10% acetic acid and diluted to 100mL with distilled water. The homogenate was filtered through ash less filter paper. A 10 mL aliquot of the filtered solution was taken for free amino acid determination and placed in a test tube, to which 3 mL ninhydrin solution and 0.5 mL 0.1% ascorbic acid were added. The solution was heated in boiling water bath for 15 mins. After cooling, the solution was made up to 20mL with 60% ethanol. Then the absorbance of the solution was measured at 570nm using a spectrophotometer (Motic, CL-45240-00, Hong Kong, China). Total free amino acids were expressed as mg g⁻¹ FW (Yemm and Cocking 1995). Soluble sugars were extracted using the method described by Sheligl (1986). About 0.5 g of dried leaf samples were extracted three times in 5ml of hot 80 % ethanol (80 °C). The supernatants from each extraction were combined and made to a convenient volume. 1 ml 5 % (w/v) phenol and 5ml concentrated H₂SO₄ were added to 2 ml of the plant extract and mixed thoroughly. The reaction mixture was allowed to stand for 30 mins before the absorbance was recorded at 485 using a spectrophotometer (Motic, CL-45240-00, Hong Kong, China). Total sugar content of the sample was calculated based on calibration curve from a glucose working standard. Starch content was extracted from the residual plant material from the soluble sugar extraction described above. This was done by incubating the dry
pellet with 2 ml HCl (4.68M) in boiling water bath for 15 mins. The soluble products were assayed by the same phenol-sulphuric method described above (Sheiligl, 1986).

**SPAD index and Fv/Fm value:** Chlorophyll index value of fully expanded young leaves was determined using a portable SPAD-502 meter (Minolta, Tokyo, Japan) during plant's growth period. Third leaves from top were used for the measurement of the maximal quantum yield of PS II photochemistry (Fv/Fm) using a plant efficiency analyzer, Handy PEA (Hansatech Instruments, England). Leaves were maintained in darkness for 20 mins before taking the data on chlorophyll fluorescence.

**Statistical Analysis:** A statistical analysis was made using analysis of variance the SPSS 21 software and the means were separated by LSD (least significant difference) test at a significance level of 0.05. The graphs were drawn using Excel software.

**Results and Discussion**

The vegetative characteristics as a function of Mg in the solution at the shaded and unshaded cucumber plants are given in Table 1. In both shaded and unshaded plants, with the increase of Mg concentration in the solution up to 3 mM leaf growth promoted. Leaf area in unshaded plants was 70% that of shaded plants (Table 1). Specific leaf weight (SLW) in unshaded plants was 63% of shaded plants (Table 1). Despite the pronounced difference in leaf area, dry weight, leaf number, plant height was not significantly affected by interaction of Mg concentration and shading (Table 2). Internode length in shaded plants was not significantly affected by interaction of Mg concentration and shading (Table 2). Internode length in shaded plants was significantly lower in 2, 3 and 4 mM Mg than 0 and 1 mM Mg (Table 2). Generally plant growth was improved at 3 mM Mg, but it was reduced when the Mg concentration increased (4 mM). Furthermore, there was a significant reduction of cucumber growth in shaded treatment. Mg is one of the important element nutrients in plants and affects some morphological, physiological and biochemical properties associated with plant growth and development (Marschner, 2012). The severity of Mg deficiency symptoms depends on light intensity to some extent (Marschner and Cakmak, 1989). However, more increasing Mg concentration (4 mM) was ineffective or reduced the cucumber growth in both shaded and unshaded plants and this reduction becomes more pronounced in shading plants. This finding was in agreement with the research by Lasa et al., (2000) who observed that sunflower plants grown at low Mg concentration decreased 40 – 50% in leaf area compared with sufficient Mg plants. The enlarged leaf area in shaded plants could allow the cucumber canopy to better catch the limited light resources. From our data, in shaded plants, leaf area was higher than unshaded plants. But, specific leaf weight (SLW) had inverse manner. This could indicate that shading increases leaf area and reduces leaf thickness, while unshaded treatment could thicken the leaf. Higher photosynthesis on a leaf area basis for leaves with high SLW is likely due to greater concentration of the photosynthetic apparatus per unit leaf area. This result is in agreement with findings of Trapani et al., (1992) and Cohen et al., (1997) who indicated that In order to capture more light under shading conditions, plants able to increase light interception efficiency by improving canopy size, such as increasing leaf area. In addition, higher tolerance to low light conditions can be achieved by enhanced plasticity of light-harvesting variables such as crown morphology and chlorophyll content (Valladares et al., 2002). This point confirmed the observation in this study that in shaded plants, stems were found to be longer with larger internode length, leaves to be thinner and leaf area to be larger. In the present experiment, there was a significant difference in leaf SPAD value between low Mg concentrations and sufficient Mg concentration. Significant decrease in chlorophyll concentration in Mg deficiency leaves has been widely reported (Hariadi and Shabala, 2004; Teklic et al., 2009). A reason for higher chlorophyll content under adequate Mg supply could be an enhanced production of chlorophyll and chlorophyll associated proteins. It is well documented that chlorotic and necrotic symptoms appearance in Mg deficiency leaves is associated with

![](https://example.com/image.png)

**Fig. 1:** Light intensity at the 50% shading and unshaded site during the entire period of experiment
Table 1. Statistical analysis of effects of Mg and shading on the vegetative characteristics of cucumber plants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shading</th>
<th>Mg (mM)</th>
<th>Leaf area (cm²)</th>
<th>SLW (g m⁻²)</th>
<th>Leaf dry weight (g)</th>
<th>Stem dry weight (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unshaded</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9735.33</td>
<td>72.14</td>
<td>70.19</td>
<td>14.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11459.66</td>
<td>66.69</td>
<td>75.99</td>
<td>15.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12798.00</td>
<td>61.48</td>
<td>77.90</td>
<td>16.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14184.66</td>
<td>57.89</td>
<td>81.51</td>
<td>18.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13281.66</td>
<td>62.33</td>
<td>82.91</td>
<td>15.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaded</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15911.00</td>
<td>42.36</td>
<td>67.14</td>
<td>13.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17501.33</td>
<td>39.86</td>
<td>69.52</td>
<td>14.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17921.33</td>
<td>39.50</td>
<td>70.47</td>
<td>15.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18177.33</td>
<td>40.23</td>
<td>73.16</td>
<td>15.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18098.00</td>
<td>38.82</td>
<td>70.64</td>
<td>14.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LSD (5%) 1070.5*

MS: Mean square, S.O.V: Source of variance, df: degree of freedom, CV: coefficient variance. ns, * and ** means non-significant and significant at the 5% and 1% probability levels, respectively

Table 2. Statistical analysis of effects of Mg and shading on the vegetative characteristics of cucumber plants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mg (mM)</th>
<th>Shading</th>
<th>Leaf number</th>
<th>Plant height (cm)</th>
<th>SPAD value</th>
<th>Internode length (cm)</th>
<th>Yield (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Unshaded</td>
<td>51.66</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>55.30</td>
<td>6.57c</td>
<td>2355.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>52.00</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>58.70</td>
<td>6.88b</td>
<td>2593.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>54.66</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>59.50</td>
<td>7.01b</td>
<td>2891.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50.66</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>61.30</td>
<td>6.78d</td>
<td>3275.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50.66</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>62.63</td>
<td>6.41d</td>
<td>3015.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>57.33</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>53.76</td>
<td>7.64a</td>
<td>1318.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>57.33</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>54.33</td>
<td>7.73a</td>
<td>1567.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>57.66</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>55.06</td>
<td>7.83a</td>
<td>1656.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>58.66</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>56.23</td>
<td>7.95a</td>
<td>1825.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>59.66</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>57.43</td>
<td>7.99a</td>
<td>1693.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Shaded</td>
<td>57.33</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>53.76</td>
<td>7.64a</td>
<td>1318.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>57.33</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>54.33</td>
<td>7.73a</td>
<td>1567.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>57.66</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>55.06</td>
<td>7.83a</td>
<td>1656.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>58.66</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>56.23</td>
<td>7.95a</td>
<td>1825.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>59.66</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>57.43</td>
<td>7.99a</td>
<td>1693.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>57.33</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>53.76</td>
<td>7.64a</td>
<td>1318.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>57.33</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>54.33</td>
<td>7.73a</td>
<td>1567.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>57.66</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>55.06</td>
<td>7.83a</td>
<td>1656.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>58.66</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>56.23</td>
<td>7.95a</td>
<td>1825.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>59.66</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>57.43</td>
<td>7.99a</td>
<td>1693.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>57.33</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>53.76</td>
<td>7.64a</td>
<td>1318.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>57.33</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>54.33</td>
<td>7.73a</td>
<td>1567.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>57.66</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>55.06</td>
<td>7.83a</td>
<td>1656.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>58.66</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>56.23</td>
<td>7.95a</td>
<td>1825.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>59.66</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>57.43</td>
<td>7.99a</td>
<td>1693.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>LSD (5%)</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.70*</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>139.95**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>df</th>
<th>S.O.V</th>
<th>Leaf number</th>
<th>Plant height (cm)</th>
<th>SPAD value</th>
<th>Internode length (cm)</th>
<th>Yield (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Replication</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>5.001</td>
<td>0.628</td>
<td>36057.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>288.3**</td>
<td>9.55**</td>
<td>139.968**</td>
<td>9.55**</td>
<td>11054684**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>3.324</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>1.359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>2.783**</td>
<td>0.069a</td>
<td>19.826**</td>
<td>0.157**</td>
<td>444274.2**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Shading*Mg</td>
<td>8.383**</td>
<td>0.092a</td>
<td>4.252*</td>
<td>0.085*</td>
<td>50379.4**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Subplot error</td>
<td>8.858</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>1.084</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>7345.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV%</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MS: Mean square, S.O.V: Source of variance, df: degree of freedom, CV: coefficient variance.

ns, * and ** means non-significant and significant at the 5% and 1% probability levels, respectively

chlorophyll destruction due to photo-oxidation and accumulation of soluble and insoluble in source leaves (Cakmak, 1994).

Cucumber yield in terms of fruit weight and number per plant were significantly affected by Mg concentration and shading. Fruit weight response to Mg concentration showed a classical dose-response curve, i.e., Mg deficiency (0 and 1 mM Mg) with fruit yield< 80% of maximum (Mg 3), ii: adequate Mg supply (optimum treatments 2 and 3 mM Mg) and iii: over-supply Mg (toxicity range; 4 mM Mg) (Table 2). Fruit number followed the similar trend as weight fruit. Fruit weight and number per plant in the shaded plants was greatly reduced by 57% and 69.5% respectively, as compared to
unshaded plants. In both shaded and unshaded plants, the highest yield in terms of fruit weight and number per plant were obtained in 3 mM Mg treatment. This increase in yield was associated with both larger fruit and higher productivity (number of fruits produced per plant). The possible explanation is that more shading caused production of thinner and larger leaves, lengthening of internode, excess vegetative growth, and retardation in flowering and lower fruit formation. This finding was in agreement with the result of Zoran et al., (2012) who indicated that total yield increased with shading levels up to 40% shading and then decreased with increasing shading levels (50%). It is well known that shading reduced photosynthesis, carbohydrate levels, the export of photoassimilates from vegetative organs to the fruits (Tabatabaei et al. 2008) and flower buds (Aloni et al., 1994), and plant dry weight in various species of fruit crops (Grant and Ryugo 1984, Rom and Ferree 1986).

Both Mg deficiency and Mg oversupply have detrimental effects on plant photosynthesis, consequently resulting in abnormal or restricted growth of plants. The possible explanation for reduction of growth and yield in highest Mg concentration in the solution is that increased concentration of free Mg may impair photosynthesis via multiple pathways such as inhibition K transport from the cytosol to the stroma, possible interference with Mg homeostasis inside the chloroplast, and impaired regulation of transport events across the tonoplast (Shaul 2002).

Figure 2 indicated that the increase of Mg concentration in the nutrient solution led to a significant increase in leaf and fruit concentration of Mg in both shaded and unshaded plants. But, Mg concentration in shaded cucumber leaves was higher than in unshaded cucumber leaves. Visual symptoms of Mg deficiency appeared only in 0 mM Mg concentration and in both shaded and unshaded plants. However, the symptoms severity became more pronounced in unshaded plants. These symptoms observed after 35 days of treatment initiation and in middle leaves as necrotic lesion. Whereas, no visual symptoms of Mg deficiency were found in leaves of both shaded and unshaded plants. The incidence of Mg deficiency was attenuated by the initial amount Mg present within the plant. Because the cucumber seedlings had been grown in one third of full nutrient solution (containing 0.3 mM Mg) for four weeks prior to treatment initiation, the initial accumulated Mg and its internal recycling in the seedling attenuated the visible signs of Mg deficiency. Optimal Mg concentration for optimal growth varied with species. Kirkby and Mengel (1979) reported that 3.5 – 8 mg g⁻¹ in the dry weight is sufficient for cucumber. However, the results obtained in this study agree well with the general threshold line for the occurrence of Mg deficiency determined by Kirkby and Mengel (1979). The Mg- deficiency visible symptoms observed partially only on the full developed middle leaves (Cakmak 1994, Broschat 1997, Fisher et al., 1998, Papenbrock et al., 2000). In cucumber, deficiency visible symptoms observed initially as interveinal chlorosis and finally, as interveinal necrosis on leaves. The occurrence of Mg deficiency on the middle leaves could significantly affect the photoassimilate production and supply to other parts of plants. This is consistent with findings by Zhao and Oosterhuis (1998), and Sonneveld (1987) who indicated that high light intensity will decrease the ability of plants to absorb and translocate Mg, since transpiration is reduced and the translocation of Mg is driven by transpiration rates. There is no clear information about the optimum content of Mg for cucumber; however, we suggest that a content of 3 mM Mg in the nutrient solution would be favorable for optimal cucumber plants growth and yield. Low Mg concentrations in the solution significantly increased K content in cucumber leaves and fruits. The K content in cucumber leaves was higher than under unshaded conditions. Also, Low Mg concentrations in the solution significantly increased Ca content in cucumber leaves and fruits, especially in unshaded plants (Table 3). At low Mg concentrations in the nutrient solution, an increased uptake of other cations like K and Ca content in the leaves and fruits were observed. It is a classic example of a known phenomenon as the secondary induced deficiency (Marschner, 1995). This is consistent with report in the literature (Masoni et al., 1996). The tendency to compensate the charge balance of a missing ion in the
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nutrient solution by the enhanced uptake of other has
been frequently reported (Peuke et al., 2002). Similarly,
low Mg supply increased K and Ca contents in
sunflower leaves (Lasar et al., 2000). Ca content in leaf
was almost four times that of in the fruit. A reason of
lower Ca content in the fruit could be fruit rapid growth
and Ca movement in xylem. Strong solar radiation and
high air temperature likely increased fruit growth and
thus increased the demand for Ca while low light
intensity increased leaf transpiration and thus the
competition between fruit and leaves for Ca (Gerendas
and Friedr, 2013).
The Fv/Fm value in unshaded plants significantly
alleviated with increasing Mg concentration in the
nutrient solution. Increased Mg concentration in shaded
plants had no effect on Fv/Fm value (Table 4). This
result is in agreement with finding of Weigard et al.,
(2012) who showed that Fv/Fm values in shaded plants
were about 0.83, which showed that cucumber grew
well under these conditions. In contrast, Fv/Fm values
in unshaded plants were in range 0.81-0.83. This
indicated that cucumber plants grown in unshaded
conditions were under certain degree of stress than in
shading conditions. Great Fv/Fm value results in higher
light utilization efficiency and stronger ability of plants
to adapt to low-light conditions. In addition to, Laing et al.,
(2000) indicated that maximum photochemical yield
is greatly reduced under Mg deficiency stress in pine
seedlings.
The activity of ascorbate peroxidase (APX) and
peroxidase (POX) of leaves was decreased by
increasing the Mg concentration in the solution and the
highest activity of these enzymes was observed in 0 mM
Mg concentration. In unshaded treatment, APX activity
was considerably higher in the leaves of Mg deficient
plants than in the leaves of plants with sufficient status.
Shading treatment greatly decreased the APX activity
(Fig. 3). POX activity followed the similar trend as
APX. But, POX activity rate was higher compared with
APX (Table 4). This result is in agreement with findings
who have reported an increase in the activity of APX
and POX antioxidative enzymes in herbaceous Mg
deficient bean and maize plants, respectively. APX
catalyzed reduction of H$_2$O$_2$ to water with ascorbate as
an electron donor (Kuzniak and Sklodowska, 1999).
Increase in APX and POX activities in Mg deficiency
was much lower in shaded than in unshaded treatment,
which may be one of reasons that shading decreased
oxidative stress by Mg deficiency. Leaves necrosis in 0
mM Mg treatment could be attributed to increased
production of ROS. Cakmak and Marschner (1992)
indicated that increase in antioxidative enzymes in Mg
deficient leaves begin at an early stage of Mg
deficiency and therefore can be considered one of the
first physiological responses of plant to Mg deficiency.
Excess light induced an increase in the anti-oxidative
response of plant cells (Schoner and Krause, 1990)
under Mg deficiency (Cakmak and Marschner, 1992).
Total free amino acids (FAA) content was significantly
increased in low Mg concentration and in
unshaded plants. But, total FAA content was
significantly similar in other treatments. In unshaded
plants, total FAA was slightly higher compared to
shaded plants (Fig. 4). The accumulation of free acid
amine was reported in other plants (Fisher et al., 1998;
Longo and Benintende, 2004) and was explained as a
result of inhibited protein synthesis leading to the
accumulation of free amino acids and related phloem
export of assimilates from source leaves to sink by Mg
deficiency (Marschner 1995, Cakmak et al., 1994,
Hermans et al., 2005). The reduction of protein in Mg
deficiency plants could be attributed to a decrease in
protein synthesis due to the participation of Mg in
the aggregation of ribosome subunits and its requirement
for RNA polymerases (Cammarano et al., 1972).
Protein biosynthesis is also strongly reduced under Mg
deficiency leading to increased concentrations of the
precursor amino acids (Marschner 2012, Fisher et al.,
1998).
With increasing Mg concentration in the solution
leaf soluble and insoluble sugars content were
decreased. Whereas, starch content was higher than
soluble sugar content in both shaded and unshaded
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Interactive effects of Mg and shading on the yield, physiology…

Fig. 4. The effect of Mg and shading on total free amino acids (FAA) in cucumber plants (error bars on the columns)

Fig. 5. The effect of Mg and shading on starch content in cucumber plants (error bars on the columns).

Table 4. Statistical analysis of effects of shading and Mg levels on physiological properties of cucumber plants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shading</th>
<th>Mg (mM)</th>
<th>Fv/Fm value</th>
<th>Soluble sugar (mg g⁻¹ DW)</th>
<th>POX activity (U g⁻¹ FW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td>35.82</td>
<td>48.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.823</td>
<td>31.41</td>
<td>43.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unshaded</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>25.42</td>
<td>43.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>23.73</td>
<td>38.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.830</td>
<td>24.39</td>
<td>36.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>28.18</td>
<td>43.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>24.73</td>
<td>37.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaded</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>20.35</td>
<td>36.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.835</td>
<td>19.48</td>
<td>36.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.835</td>
<td>18.74</td>
<td>34.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD (5%)</td>
<td>0.00003*</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>9.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Statistical analysis of effects of shading and Mg levels on physiological properties of cucumber plants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.O.V</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Fv/Fm value</th>
<th>Soluble sugar</th>
<th>POX activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replicate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.123</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>35.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shading</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.002**</td>
<td>257.2</td>
<td>128.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main error</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.803</td>
<td>10.32</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.972**</td>
<td>129.03</td>
<td>99.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shading* Mg</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.262**</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>7.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subplot error</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.617E-006</td>
<td>12.43</td>
<td>3355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MS: Mean square, S.O.V: Source of variance, df: degree of freedom, CV: coefficient variance. ns, *, and ** means non-significant and significant at the 5% and 1% probability levels, respectively.

plants (Fig. 5). Leaf soluble and starch content of unshaded plants was higher compared with shaded plants (Table 4). In almost all higher plants, the main end products of leaf photosynthates are sucrose and starch. However, partitioning of sucrose and starch and their effect on dry matter distribution is influenced by several environmental factors, such as low temperature, drought and essential mineral nutrients (Huber, 1989; Wardlaw, 1990). Mineral nutrition status of plants has a considerable impact on partitioning of carbohydrates.
and dry matter between shoots and roots (Druege, 2000; Lopez-Bucio, 2003; Marschner, 1995). Under Mg deficiency, starch concentrations are high in source leaves (Fischer and Bremer 1993) and low in sink organs such as cereal grains and fruits. This might demonstrate impaired photosynthate transport from source leaves to sink organs. Hence, in Mg-deficient plants higher shoot/root ratios were found compared with Mg-sufficient plants (Bouma et al., 1979; Cakmak and Marschner 1992, Ericsson 1995). Translocation of amino acids and sugars from sink to source might be inhibited under Mg deficiency due to the effect of Mg on the H+-ATPase (Cakmak and Kirkby, 2008).
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