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Effect of citric acid on antioxidant activity of garden cress (Lepidium sativum L.) under chromium stress
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Abstract

Chromium imposed harmful morphological, physiological, and metabolic effects in plants. This study was aimed to evaluate the impact of citric acid (0, 2.5 and 5 mM), on different morphological and physiological characteristics of garden cress exposed to chromium-VI stress (0, 1, and 10 mM). Results showed that shoot and root length, fresh and dry weight, chlorophyll, carotenoids, and DPPH activity decreased under chromium, while anthocyanin increased. Also, at high chromium concentrations, the rate of accumulation of this metal in the shoot parts declined but increased in the roots. This study showed that the addition of citric acid (2.5, and 5 mM) with chromium (10 mM) significantly enhanced shoot and root length, fresh and dry weight, chlorophyll, carotenoids while a similar increase was observed in the combination of 1 mM chromium and 2.5 mM citric acid, 5 mM citric acid in combination with 1 mM chromium reduced shoot and root length, fresh and dry weight, chlorophyll and carotenoids. The addition of 2.5 and 5 mM citric acid along with chromium 1 and 10 mM significantly decreased Anthocyanin content. Besides, citric acid 2.5 and 5 mM alleviated the adverse effect of chromium 1 and 10 mM on DPPH activity. This means that the transfer from the root to the shoot will increase under the effect of citric acid at high chromium concentrations but in low concentrations of chromium, citric acid reduced the concentration of chromium in the shoot parts considering the chromium uptake and translocation factor results, garden cress is not suitable for phytoremediation. Hence, the citric acid played this role through the regulation of the antioxidant system to diminish the toxicity of chromium.
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Introduction

Lepidium sativum, commonly known as garden cress is a fast growing annual herb that is native to Egypt and West Asia, although it is now cultivated in the entire world. Its seeds are rich source of proteins, dietary fiber, omega-3 fatty acids, iron, and other essential nutrients and phytochemicals. Garden cress is widely used in folk medicine for the treatment of hyperactive airways disorders, such as asthma, bronchitis and cough (Doke and Guha, 2014). The accumulation of heavy metals in the edible parts of plants is a serious threat for humans and animals (Anjum et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017). Plants can easily absorb heavy metals along with necessary nutrients from the soil and transport them to shoots (Rizwan et al., 2017; Jabeen et al., 2016; Lopez-Luna et al., 2016; Lukina et al., 2016), cause them to enter the food chain (Wuana and Okieimen, 2011; Anjum et al., 2015). Recent studies have shown the toxic effects of heavy metals on photosynthesis, growth, and plant biomass (Anjum et al., 2017; Singh et al., 2017).

Chromium is one of the foremost non-essential and hazardous metals for living organisms (Mantry and Patra, 2017; Atta et al., 2013). Chromium is widely used in industrial processes. Chemical production is the most important source of its release in soil and aquatic environments that can have harmful environmental effects (Riaz et al., 2019; Tripathi et al., 2016; He et al., 2017). In general, the chromium in the earth's crustis is in the range of 0.1–0.3 μg g⁻¹. Nevertheless, distinct soils showed a varying concentration of chromium, a range of 15 to 100 μg g⁻¹ (Shahid et al., 2017). Chromium mostly occurs in two redox forms i.e. trivalent (Cr³⁺) and hexavalent (Cr⁶⁺) (Afshan et al., 2015; Ahemad, 2015; da Costa et al., 2016; Aharchaou et al., 2017). Chromium III is comparatively motionless, in contrast to highly bioavailable, solvable, and moving form: Chromium VI (Dhal et al., 2013; Markiewicz et al., 2015). Chromium-VI is extremely toxic, carcinogenic and causes necrosis, bronchitis, asthma, and dermatitis in humans (Farid et al., 2017).

The high oxidation potential of chromium-VI makes it more permeable in biological systems (Sallah-Ud-Din et al., 2017). Higher chromium accumulation can induce decrease in plant growth and delay in seed germination (Rout et al., 2000; Tripathi et al., 2015). Many studies have also shown the inhibitory effects of chromium on photosynthetic pigments that decreased gas exchange activities (Mathur et al., 2016; Prasad, 2004; Rodriguez...
et al., 2012). Oxidative stress caused by chromium disrupts the biochemical function and morphology of plants (Nguyen et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2017).

Revegetation of soil contaminated with metal via hyperaccumulator plants is an environmental strategy (Rascio and Navari-Izzo, 2011). For the bioremediation of chromium contaminated soil, the phytorextraction technique is extremely useful (Shakoor et al., 2013). Nevertheless, the utilization of hyper accumulator plants is widely accepted to extract chromium from soil and water (da Conceicao Gomes et al., 2017; Handa et al., 2017). Many studies have investigated several hyperaccumulator species like Rapeseed (Gasco et al., 2019), Mustard (Gill et al., 2016), sunflower (Zehra et al., 2020), for the phytoextraction of chromium contaminated-soil. Nevertheless, the upper concentration and accumulation of chromium may cause changes in biochemical and morpho-physiological properties which ultimately leads to low productivity and efficiency (De Maria et al., 2013; Handa et al., 2017).

The potential of the phytorextraction process is often optimized by adding some organic and inorganic chelators that increase the mobility and availability of chromium (Wiszniowska et al., 2016; Kumar et al., 2014). The utilization of organic acids may ameliorate metal absorption in non-hyperaccumulator plants like Ricinum communis L. (Zhang et al., 2016). Numerous studies have reported the use of organic chelators so that citric acid playing an important role in increasing growth under the conditions of chromium (Afshan et al., 2015; Habiba et al., 2015).

Since there have not been any investigations on the citric acid- recovery within the chromium phytoremediation by the garden cress, present study was designed to (1) calculate the chromium absorption of garden cress plants; (2) distinguish how much use of citric acid increases the absorption of chromium by decreasing phytotoxic effects of the metal; (3) examine the chromium levels of toxic effects on different morphological and physiological characteristics of garden cress; (4) determination of decreased phytotoxic effects of chromium by citric acid.

**Materials and methods**

**Culture condition and treatments:** This experiment was designed and implemented in the July 2019 under greenhouse conditions in Payame Noor University of Kerman. The seeds of garden cress (Lepidium sativum L.) were sterilized with sodium hypochlorite (1%) for 5 min and then washed three times by distilled water. after 72 h at 25 °C. A pot experiment was conducted, and for this purpose, the plastic pots, with 1 kg capacity, were filled with sieved soil. Five garden cress seeds were sown in each pot and pots were replicated three times per concentration. The uniform germinated seeds were transferred to pots containing sand, clay, and humus (3:1:2) under a light density of approximately 100 µmolm−2 s−1, day/night temperatures of 25/20 °C under 16 h photoperiod. Chromium (0, 1, and 10 mM in the form of potassium dichromate: K2Cr2O7) and citric acids (0, 2.5, and 5 mM) were applied to the soil for 7 days during vegetative growth of plants. The leaves were harvested 21 days after treatment. The following 9 treatments were constituted for the present study: T1: Cr (0 Mm) + CA (0 Mm); T2: Cr (1 Mm); T3: Cr (10 Mm); T4: CA (2.5 Mm); T5: CA (5 Mm); T6: Cr (1 Mm) + CA (2.5 Mm); T7: Cr (1 Mm) + CA (5 Mm); T8: Cr (10 Mm) + CA (2.5 Mm); T9: Cr (10 Mm) + CA (5 Mm), treatments.

**Statistical design and analysis:** The data were presented as the mean of three replicates ± standard error (SE). At 5% probability level, ANOVA was applied for data analysis by using SPSS software (Statistics Software, Version 17.0). Tukey’s HSD post hoc test was applied for multiple comparisons of the means.
Results

Effect of citric acid and chromium on plant growth parameters: The garden cress plant growth was significantly affected under chromium treatment (1 and 10 mM), compared to the control plants (Fig. 1). The chromium treatment at 10 mM reduced shoot lengths, with an average reduction of 29%, while this impact did not exceed 17% at 1 mM (Fig. 1a). Root length was found to be chromium dose-dependent, whereas similar decreases of shoot length were observed at both chromium doses to the controls (Fig. 1b). Similarly, data presented in (Fig. 2) indicated that chromium stress significantly (P<0.05) reduced the fresh and dry weight of shoots and roots (Fig. 2).

The citric acid 2.5 mM alone significantly enhanced growth parameters, compared to the control plants. The addition of citric acid (2.5 and 5 mM) with chromium (10 mM) significantly enhanced growth parameters while a similar increase was observed in the combination of 1 mM chromium and 2.5 mM citric acid, 5 mM citric acid in combination with 1 mM chromium reduced growth parameters. The maximum increase in shoot and root length was recorded (12% and 33%, respectively), under combined application of chromium (10 mM) and citric acid 2.5 mM, as compared to the plants treated with chromium (10 mM) alone. Similarly, the fresh weight of shoot and root were improved by 44% and 50%, respectively, under chromium 10 mM and citric acid 2.5 mM as compared to control. Also, the application of 2.5 and 5 mM of citric acid with 10 mM chromium significantly increased the dry weight of shoot and root but 1 mM chromium, only 2.5 mM citric acid increased the dry weight of roots and shoots (Fig. 1, 2).

Effect of citric acid and chromium on photosynthetic pigments: Chlorophyll (a, b, and total chlorophyll) and carotenoids contents significantly decreased in plants grown under chromium stress. The carotenoids and total chlorophyll content decreased by 11% and 17% respectively, in 10 mM, as compared to the control. However, foliar application of citric acid significantly (P<0.05) improved the Chla, Chlb, total Chl, and carotenoid contents. The addition of citric acid (2.5 and 5 mM) alone significantly increased Chla, Chlb, total Chl, and carotenoid contents, compared to the control plants. Maximum total chlorophylls and carotenoids concentrations were obtained in the plants applied with citric acid (2.5 mM) only and minimum concentrations were obtained with 10 mM chromium treatment. The addition of 2.5 and 5 mM citric acid along with chromium 10 mM significantly enhanced total chlorophyll by 8% and 6% respectively while carotenoids by 5% and 12% respectively, as compared to the rest of the treatments (Fig. 3).

Effect of citric acid and chromium on anthocyanin content: Anthocyanin content in garden cress leaves significantly increased in chromium-stressed plants. The addition of 2.5 and 5 mM citric acid along with chromium 1 and 10 mM significantly decreased anthocyanin content. The addition of citric acid (2.5 and 5 mM) alone significantly decreased anthocyanin content, compared to the control plants. The addition of 2.5 and 5 mM citric acid along with chromium 1 mM significantly decreased anthocyanin content by 72% and 48% respectively while in chromium 10 mM by 82% and 71% respectively (Fig. 4).

Effect of citric acid and chromium on antioxidant activity using DPPH activity assay: Our result showed that significant changes in DPPH activity occurred in response to chromium. DPPH activity in garden cress leaves significantly reduced in chromium-stressed plants by 7.75% and 21.85% as compared to the control, respectively, in 1 and 10 mM of chromium. The addition of citric acid (2.5 and 5 mM) alone significantly enhanced DPPH activity, compared to the control plants. The application of citric acid 2.5 and 5 mM alleviated this adverse effect of chromium 1 and 10 mM on DPPH activity. The citric acid 2.5 mM increased the DPPH activity of leaves by 132% and 147% respectively, under chromium 1 and 10 mM, compared with chromium treatment alone (Fig. 5).
uptake and translocation factor: The results showed that with increasing chromium concentration in the soil, its concentration in the shoot of the garden cress plants decreased but in the root increased (Fig. 6 a, b). The highest chromium concentration (16.54 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dry weight) was observed in 1 mM chromium, while in 10 mM chromium (3.60 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dry weight), chromium adsorption was observed in shoot parts. Also, in the roots the highest chromium concentration (225.31 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dry weight) was observed in 10 mM chromium. In the application of citric acid alone, no significant difference was observed in the concentration of chromium of shoots and roots in comparison with the control plants. In contrast, the soil amendment with citric acid produced an apparent reduction in chromium 1 mM accumulation in shoot parts while plants treated with 2.5 and 5 mM citric acid showed higher accumulation of chromium 10 mM in shoot parts compared with chromium contaminated soil (Fig. 6 a). The citric acid 2.5 and 5 mM application further reduced the concentration of chromium 1 mM by 8% and 66% in the shoots respectively, while in shoot, the concentration and accumulation were increased by 59% and 180% respectively under citric acid 2.5 and 5 mM with chromium 10 mM, compared with their respective controls. Plants treated with citric acid showed higher accumulation of chromium in root parts compared with chromium contaminated soil (Fig. 6 b).

Analysis of variance showed that soil chromium concentration markedly impacted on translocation factor in garden cress plants. The translocation factor in the garden cress increased at a concentration of 1 mM chromium while decreasing at a concentration of 10 mM chromium (Fig. 6 c). In the application of citric acid alone, no significant difference was observed in the translocation factor in comparison with the control plants. The citric acid 2.5 and 5 Mm application further reduced the translocation factor of chromium 1 mM by 6% and 67% respectively, while the translocation factor was increased by 31% and 81% respectively under citric acid 2.5 and 5 mM with chromium 10 mM, compared with their respective controls.

Discussion

The toxicity of chromium greatly inhibited the growth and biomass of plants compared to the control (Antoniadis et al., 2017). The results of this study indicated that chromium stress reduced the plant growth of garden cress plants. However, soil application of citric acid considerably improved the growth and
Effect of citric acid on antioxidant activity of garden cress (Lepidium sativum L.) plants. Values with similar letters are not significantly different at P<0.05.

Fig. 4. Effect of citric acid and chromium stress on anthocyanin concentration of Lepidium sativum L. Values with similar letters are not significantly different at P<0.05.

Biomass production in garden cress plants that the role of CA as a growth promoting agent with a chelating potential against different heavy metals such as Cr (Afshan et al., 2015). Increasing chromium concentration in soil considerably reduced the nutrient uptake and accordingly reduced growth and biomass of plants (Rivelli et al., 2014; Tauqeer et al., 2016). It has been proven that chromium stress reduces growth and biomass production in sunflower (Saleem et al., 2015), wheat (Dotaniya et al., 2014), pea (Rodriguez et al., 2012), rice (Hussain et al., 2018), castor bean (Qureshi et al., 2020), and cauliflower (Ahmad et al., 2020).

The effect of citric acid on the growth parameters of garden cress plants considerably enhanced compared
Fig. 5. Effect of citric acid and chromium stress on DPPH activity of Lepidium sativum L. Values with similar letters are not significantly different at P<0.05.

Fig. 6. Effect of citric acid and chromium stress on the shoot (a) and root (b) chromium concentration and TF (c) of Lepidium sativum L. Values with similar letters are not significantly different at P<0.05.

with the control. The positive effect of citric acid is additionally attributed as a plant growth regulator because of its ability to increase nutrient uptake and protect against biotic and abiotic stresses (Freitas et al., 2013). Studies have shown that citric acid also plays an important role in modulating the negative effects of cadmium and lead-heavy metals (Ehsan et al., 2014; Shakoor et al., 2014). Afshan et al. (2015) declared that citric acid reduces the toxicity of chromium in Brassica napus. Similar findings have been observed in the cotton plant under copper stress (Zaheer et al., 2015). Studies have shown that chromium reduces biomass in Ricinus communis, while the use of citric acid improves the reduction effect of chromium on plant biomass.
(Qureshi et al., 2020). Therefore, higher photosynthesis can lead to increase biomass (Rodriguez et al., 2012).

Chlorophyll contents are as a criterion to evaluate heavy metal tolerance potential of plants (Huang et al., 2011). In this study, chromium toxicity reduced photosynthetic pigments in the garden cress. These results are consistent with the findings of previous studies on in sunflower plants under the influence of chromium (Saleem et al., 2015; Atta et al., 2013). Reduction of photosynthetic pigments that were additionally determined in Brassica napus, Brassica juncea, mung bean, barley, and wheat under chromium stress (Afshan et al., 2015; Adrees et al., 2015; Gonzalez et al., 2015; James et al., 2016). Also, the accumulation of toxic chromium destroys the chloroplast structure of plants (Farid et al., 2019; Najeeb et al., 2011). Studies have shown that chlorophyll and carotenoid depletion is due to the breakdown of chloroplast structure, photosynthetic system, and electron transfer chain (Singh et al., 2013). Similar to chromium, other heavy metals reduced photosynthetic pigments (Farooq et al., 2016; Habiba et al., 2015). In rice, chromium reduced the amount of chlorophyll so that chlorophyll b decreased more than chlorophyll a (Chen et al., 2017).

The decrease in carotenoid content in our research might be due to increase accumulation of chromium that increased cellular levels of ROS (Jabeen et al., 2016). Carotenoids have antioxidant activity that protects them to scavenge, hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), superoxide radical, and singlet oxygen (Kang et al., 2017).

The application of citric acid lessened the adverse effects of chromium on chlorophyll and carotenoid in garden cress plants. This might be the effect of CA application, which enhanced the uptake of essential nutrients as well as the formation of photosynthetic pigments (Farid et al., 2017). It can be proposed that citric acid might have formed a chelate complex with Cr thereby reducing its toxicity. Similar findings of improvement in photosynthetic pigments were also observed in the plants under lead, cadmium, chromium, and nickel (Afshan et al., 2015; Ehsan et al., 2014; Shaker et al., 2014; Zaheer et al., 2015). The addition of 5 mM citric acid also increased photosynthetic pigments in Ricinus communis under chromium stress (Qureshi et al., 2020). In the present study, the decrease in carotenoid contents as a result of 5 mM CA in 1 mM Cr application could have been due to oxidative stress created by CA.

DPPH activity is used to determine the total antioxidant potential. The results of the present research showed a significant reduction in total antioxidant potential taken in the form of DPPH activity in plants under chromium stress. Our results are consistent with results of Tripathi et al. (2012), which showed a chromium-mediated decline in DPPH radical scavenging activity in rice plants grown in chromium-contaminated conditions. As so, Kundu et al. (2018) showed a considerable reduction in DPPH radical scavenging activity in Plantago under chromium stress. However, in this study DPPH activity in garden cress leaves enhanced in 2.5 and 5 mM citric acid. Citric acid-mediated increase in antioxidant potential has been reported in Ricinus communis grown in chromium contaminated condition (Qureshi et al., 2020). There are several reports on citric acid-mediated increase in antioxidant potential of plants under abiotic stress by inhibiting free radicals.

Anthocyanins have strong antioxidant potential, which plays an important role in chromium stress tolerance (Kovinich et al., 2015; Habiba et al., 2019). An increase in anthocyanins under metal stress has been reported in Arabidopsis (Baek et al., 2012). The results of the present research showed a significant increase of anthocyanins content in plants under chromium stress. The addition of citric acid also mediated a significant decrease in this parameter under chromium stress.

The chromium-VI is considered to be much more toxic than chromium III (Gomes et al., 2017). In this study, the results showed that with increasing chromium concentration in the soil, its concentration in the shoot of the garden cress plants decreased. However, our results do not match the old studies on chromium uptake in soil (Saleem et al., 2015; Atta et al., 2013). Studies show that chromium can be easily absorbed by some plants (Jabeen et al., 2016; Adrees et al., 2015). Our results showed that citric acid 2.5 and 5 mM treatment further decreased the absorption of chromium 1 mM in the garden cress. Therefore, our results are consistent with Qureshi et al. (2020), who found lower chromium-VI concentration in Ricinus communis in citric acid treatment. Also, in this study, citric acid 2.5 and 5 mM increased the concentration of chromium in the shoots of plants under 10 mM chromium stress, which represents chelating the role of citric acid in the absorption of heavy metals (Farid et al., 2017; Najeeb et al., 2009, 2011; Shakoor et al., 2014). Citric acid showed the potential to vary the oxidation state of chromium-VI to chromium III (Lesniewska et al., 2017). Citric acid efficaciously preserved plants from chromium-VI phytotoxic effects. Our results are consistent with previous studies that reported a decrease in the growth of wheat plants grown in chromium-media was regulated by chromium (Riaz et al., 2019). Our results are consistent with previous studies that showed higher chromium accumulation in roots than shoots, this is due to the storage of chromium in the vacuole (Shanker et al., 2005; Gupta and Sinha, 2006). The translocation factor calculates the potency of plants to transfer metals from the root to the shoot. It is given by the ratio of metal concentration in shoot and root. In this research, the citric acid 2.5 and 5 Mm application further reduced the translocation factor of chromium 1 Mm, while the translocation factor was increased under citric acid 2.5 and 5 mM with chromium 10 mM, compared with their respective controls. Plants with translocation factor higher than one will transfer heavy metals to shoot (Chen et al., 2015; Adesodun et al., 2010; Prasad et al., 2001). While, plants with
translocation factor lower than one show lower capability transfer of heavy metal from root to shoot (Aran et al., 2017). Therefore, our results indicate that the garden cress plant is not one of the hyperaccumulator plants in terms of chromium accumulation in the shoot parts. The results also showed that at high chromium concentrations in the soil, the rate of accumulation of this metal in the shoot parts declined. This means that the transfer from the root to the shoot will increase under the effect of citric acid at high chromium concentrations but in low concentrations of chromium, citric acid reduced the concentration of chromium in the shoot parts. Thus our results also proposed that citric acid treatment resulting in a reduction in oxidative damages imported by chromium-VI.

Conclusion
The current research concludes that chromium considerably has toxic effects on the morphological and physiological characteristics of garden cress. The results showed that with increasing chromium concentration in the soil, its concentration in the shoot of the garden cress plants decreased. Also, our results proposed that the transfer from the root to the shoot will increase under the effect of citric acid at high chromium concentrations but in low concentrations of chromium, citric acid reduced the concentration of chromium in the shoot parts. Therefore, the improvement in morphological and physiological characteristics of garden cress showed a reduction in the role of citric acid under chromium stress. The addition of citric acid protects the defense system and helps the plant resistance against high levels of chromium in the tissues by regulating the physiological and biochemical processes. Considering the above results, garden cress is not suitable for phytoremediation.

Acknowledgments
I wish to thank Payame Noor University Research Council for approval and providing financial support.

References


